	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	Case No. 23-10063-shl
4	x
5	In the Matter of:
6	
7	GENESIS GLOBAL HOLDCO, LLC,
8	
9	Debtor.
10	x
11	
12	United States Bankruptcy Court
13	300 Quarropas Street, Room 248
14	White Plains, NY 10601
15	
16	January 23, 2023
17	2:00 PM
18	
19	
20	
21	BEFORE:
22	HON SEAN H. LANE
23	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
24	
25	ECRO: UNKNOWN

Page 2 1 HEARING re FIRST DAY HEARING 2 HEARING re Doc. #29 Notice Of First Day Hearing 3 4 5 HEARING re Doc. #2 (Joint Administration) Motion For Joint 6 Administration 7 8 HEARING re Doc. #14 (Consolidated Creditors List) Motion to 9 Authorize /Debtors' Motion for Entry of Interim and Final 10 Orders Waiving the Requirement that Each Debtor File a List 11 of Creditors and Authorizing Preparation of a Consolidated 12 List of Creditors, in Lieu of Submitting a Formatted Mailing 13 Matrix, (II) Authorizing the Debtors to File a Consolidated 14 List of the Debtors' Fifty (50) Largest Unsecured Creditors, 15 (III) Authorizing the Debtors to Redact Certain Personally 16 Identifiable Information, and (IV) Granting Related Relief 17 18 HEARING re Doc. #3 (Schedules Extension/Waiver) Motion To 19 Extend Deadline To File Schedules Or Provide Required 20 Information / Debtors' Motion For An Order Extending Time To 21 File Schedules Of Assets And Liabilities, Schedules Of 22 Executory Contracts And Unexpired Leases, Statements Of Financial Affairs, And Rule 2015.3 Financial Reports 23 24 25

Page 3 1 HEARING re Doc. #13 (Automatic Stay) Motion To Authorize 2 Debtors To Operate Their Business In The Ordinary Course, 3 And, Motion To Impose Automatic Stay 4 HEARING re Doc. #15 (Cash Management) Motion To Authorize / 5 6 Debtors' Motion For Entry Of Interim And Final Order (I) 7 Authorizing Debtors To Continue To Operate The Existing Cash 8 Management System, Including Existing Bank Accounts, Honor 9 Certain Prepetition Obligations Related Thereto, and 10 Maintain Existing Business Forms; (II) Permitting Continued 11 Intercompany Transactions and Granting Certain Administrative Claims; (III) Extending the Time to Comply 12 13 with the Requirements of Section 345 of the Bankruptcy Code 14 and (IV) Granting Related Relief 15 16 HEARING re Doc. #9 (Taxes And Fees) Motion To Pay Taxes 17 /Debtors' Motion For Entry Of Interim And Final Orders 18 Authorizing The Payment Of Certain Taxes And Fees 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Page 4 1 HEARING re Doc. #11 (Critical Vendor) Motion To Authorize / 2 Debtors' Motion For Entry Of Interim And Final Orders (I) Authorizing, But Not Directing, The Debtors To Pay Certain 3 Prepetition Claims Of Critical Vendors And Foreign Vendors, 4 (II) Authorizing And Directing Financial Institutions To 5 6 Honor and Process Checks And Transfers Related To Such 7 Claims And (III) Granting Related Relief 8 9 HEARING re Doc. #16 (Employee Wages) Motion To Authorize / 10 Motion Of Genesis Asia Pacific PTE. LTD. For Entry of 11 Interim and Final Orders (I) Authorizing Genesis Asia 12 Pacific PTE. LTD. To (A) Pay Certain Employee Wages and 13 Other Compensation and Related Obligations and (B) Maintain 14 and Continue Employee Benefits and Programs in the Ordinary 15 Course, and (II) Authorizing and Directing Applicable Banks 16 to Honor All Transfers Related to Such Obligations 17 18 HEARING re Doc. #4 (Foreign Representative Appointment) 19 Motion To Appoint / Debtors' Motion Pursuant To Section 1505 20 Of The Bankruptcy Code For Authorization Of Genesis Asia 21 Pacific Pte. Ltd. To Act As The Foreign Representative Of 22 The Debtors 23 24 25

	Page 5
1	HEARING re Doc. #10 (Case Management) Motion To Approve /
2	Debtors' Motion For Entry Of An Order Implementing Certain
3	Notice And Case Management Procedures
4	
5	HEARING re Doc. #12 (Kroll Claims An Noticing Agent) Motion
6	To Appoint Kroll Restructuring Administration LLC As Claims
7	And Noticing Agent
8	
9	HEARING re Doc. #17 (Islim Declaration) Declaration Of A.
10	Derar Islim In Support Of First Day Motions And In
11	Compliance With Local Rule 1007-2
12	
13	HEARING re Doc. #28 (Leto Declaration) Declaration Of
14	Michael Leto Support Of First Day Motions And Applications
15	In Compliance With Local Rule 1007-2
16	
17	HEARING re Doc. #19 (Aronzon Declaration) Declaration Of
18	Paul Aronzon In Support Of First Day Motions And
19	Applications In Compliance With Local Rule 1007-2
20	
21	HEARING re Doc. #20 (Plan) Joint Chapter 11 Plan
22	
23	
24	
25	Transcribed by: Sonya Ledanski Hyde

	Page 6
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP
4	Attorneys for the Debtor
5	One Liberty Plaza
6	New York, NY 10006
7	
8	BY: SEAN O'NEAL
9	JANE VANLARE
10	HOORI KIM
11	CHRISTIAN RIBEIRO
12	RICHARD C. MINOTT
13	
14	WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
15	Attorneys for Digital Currency Group, Inc.
16	767 Fifth Avenue
17	New York, NY 10153
18	
19	BY: JEFFREY SAFERSTEIN
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	r g r or 113
	Page 7
1	KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
2	Attorneys for Ad Hoc Group of Creditors
3	601 Lexington Avenue
4	New York, NY 10022
5	
6	BY: CHRISTOPHER MARCUS
7	ROSS J. FIEDLER
8	
9	HUGHES HUBBARD & REED LLP
10	Attorneys for Gemini Trust Company, LLC,
11	Acting as Agent
12	One Battery Park Plaza
13	New York, NY 10004
14	
15	BY: ANSON B. FRELINGHUYSEN
16	DUSTIN P. SMITH
17	JEFFREY S. MARGOLIN
18	
19	PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
20	Attorneys for Ad Hoc Group of Genesis Customers
21	11 Times Square
22	New York, NY 10036
23	
24	BY: BRIAN ROSEN
25	JORDAN SAZANT

	1 9 0 01 110
	Page 8
1	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
2	Attorneys for the U.S. Trustee
3	201 Varick Street, Suite 1006
4	New York, NY 10014
5	
6	BY: GREG ZIPES
7	MARK BRUH
8	
9	WHITE CASE LLP
10	Attorneys for Kimchi Fund
11	111 South Wacker Drive, Suite 5100
12	Chicago, IL 60606
13	
14	BY: GREGORY F. PESCE
15	J. CHRISTOPHER SHORE
16	PHILIP ABELSON
17	
18	NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP
19	Attorneys for Mirana Corp.
20	1301 Avenue of the Americas
21	New York, NY 10019
22	
23	BY: ERIC D. DAUCHER
24	
25	PAUL ARONZON, Declarant

	Pg 9 01 113	
		Page 9
1	ALSO PRESENT TELEPHONICALLY:	
2		
3	NATHANIEL ALLARD	
4	ALISON R. AMBEAULT	
5	ASHLAND BERNARD	
6	MICHAEL BLACKMON	
7	SABRINA BREMER	
8	JESSI BROOKS	
9	BRIAN BULTHIUS	
10	DONALD BURKE	
11	TOM CONHEENEY	
12	JARED DERMOT	
13	ROMA N. DESAI	
14	MICHAEL DIYANNI	
15	DANIEL EGGEMANN	
16	GABRIEL EISENBERGER	
17	DONALD FACKINA	
18	MATTHEW ALLEN FELDMAN	
19	DANIEL ISAAC FORMAN	
20	ADAM J. GOLDBERG	
21	DEBRA I. GRASSGREEN	
22	BRANDON HAMMER	
23	MIRANDA HATCH	
2 4	AUTUMN HIGHSMITH	
25	VICKY HUANG	

1	1 g 10 01 113	$\neg$
	Page 10	
1	VINCENT INDELICATO	
2	DERAR ISLIM	
3	ZUL JAMAL	
4	ALEXANDER JANGHORBANI	
5	BARAK KLEIN	
6	KONRAD LESSER	
7	MICHAEL LETO	
8	SAMUEL LEVANDER	
9	CHEYENNE LIGON	
10	DAVID LOOPEZ	
11	ALEXANDRA LOTTY	
12	CHRISTOPHER MARCUS	
13	JEFFREY S. MARGOLIN	
14	LAYLA MILLIGAN	
15	DAN T. MOSS	
16	OLIVER H.F. PARE	
17	JOHN PATOUHAS	
18	AMELIA POLLARD	
19	ARIANNA PRETTO-SAKMANN	
20	MOHIT RATHI	
21	JASON ROSELL	
22	BRIAN SANDLOW ROSEN	
23	ANDRES SAENZ	
24	JACK SCHICKLER	
25	JOE SCIAMETTA	

	Pg 11 01 113	
	Page	e 11
1	MARK STANCIL	
2	BENJAMIN JOSEPH STEELE	
3	ANDREW SULLIVAN	
4	JOSHUA SUSSBERG	
5	ANDREW SWIFT	
6	NACIF TAOUSSE	
7	BENJAMIN TAYLOR	
8	TARA TIANTIAN	
9	BRIAN TICHENOR	
10	DAVID TURETSKY	
11	FRANCISCO VAZQUEZ	
12	VALENTINA VLASOVA	
13	MEGAN VOLIN	
14	WANKUN WANG	
15	CHRISTOPHER WARD	
16	MICHAEL WEINBERG	
17	KATHRYN WITCHGER	
18	VICTOR P. ABRIANO	
19	OLGA ALLEN	
20	RACHEL B. ANDERSON RYNDERS	
21	NEGISA BALLUKU	
22	HUGH BELLAMY	
23	BRIANNA B. BILTER	
24	SOMA BISWAS	
25	THOMAS R. BRAZIEL	

	Pg 12 01 113	
		Page 12
1	PHILLIP BRENDEL	
2	ROBERT DOUGLAS BROWN	
3	GABRIEL BRUNSWICK	
4	GRANT CARROLL	
5	AMY CASTOR	
6	BIANCA CASTRO	
7	RYAN CHEN	
8	CATHERINE CHOE	
9	STEVEN CHURCH	
10	MIA COOPER	
11	CHARLES GOOCH COWDEN	
12	RUSSELL CRUMPLER	
13	JASON DIBATTISTA	
14	JAMES V. DREW	
15	CHRISTOPHER FARMER	
16	LISA FAUCHER	
17	MICHAEL FAY	
18	RYAN FINK	
19	JULIA FOSTER	
20	ERIC FRIEL	
21	ELLA GASPAR	
22	KIMBERLY GIANIS	
23	CINDI GIGLIO	
24	UDAY GORREPATI	
25	ROHAN GOSWAMI	

	Page 13
	- uyu - u
1	BENJAMIN GRAHAM
2	ROBERT P. GRATTAN
3	LOREN HARMAN
4	TAYLOR HARRISON
5	MIRANDA HATCH
6	JEREMY C. HILL
7	DAVID HOLLERITH
8	PATRICK HOLOHAN
9	CHRISTIANA JOHNSON
10	ROB JORDAN
11	WENDY KANE
12	CRYSTAL KIM
13	MICHAEL KIM
14	DIETRICH KNAUTH
15	RYAN LAWLER
16	CHRISTINE LEE
17	MIKE LEGGE
18	AMANDA LINDNER
19	JONATHAN L. LOZANO
20	KEN LUKASZEWSKI
21	JOHN ANDREW MARCIN
22	AKIKO MATSUDA
23	KEITH MCCORMACK
24	KYLE MCKUHEN
25	ARJUN MEHRA

	1 y 14 01 113	
		Page 14
1	MICHELE MEISES	
2	DANIEL MITCHELL	
3	DAVID MOHAMED	
4	JAMES MURPHY	
5	PAUL MUSSER	
6	JAMES J. NANI	
7	DENNIS C. O'DONNELL	
8	TYLER OKADA	
9	LUKE PORCARI	
10	LINDA RIFKIN	
11	SHAYA ROCHESTER	
12	ABIGAIL RYAN	
13	MATT SEDIGH	
14	PETER SABA	
15	CAROLINE SALLS	
16	WILLIAM SCHATZ	
17	THERESE SCHEUER	
18	ANDREW SCURRIA	
19	THOMAS SHORT	
20	IAN SILVERBRAND	
21	RYAN STOUT	
22	VINCE SULLIVAN	
23	MITCHELL SUSSMAN	
24	ADAM SWINGLE	
25	WES TW	

	Page 15
1	BRENDAN TELZROW
2	KATE THOMAS
3	KRISZTIAN TOTH
4	ETHAN TROTZ
5	MARIANNA UDEM
6	WILLIAM UPTEGROVE
7	MADELEINE VESCOVACCI
8	LAUREN WALKER
9	CHRISTOPHER A. WARD
10	MOLLY WHITE
11	STEVEN WHITE
12	BRANDON J. WILLIAMS
13	CHAD RYAN WOLFE
14	ROBERT A. YACAVONIS
15	LILY YARBOROUGH
16	BENJAMIN HIRSCH
17	JEAN-FRANCOIS ZAKY
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York and we're here this afternoon for a so-called first day hearing in Genesis Global HoldCo LLC, a Chapter 11 case that was filed last week. And thank you for everybody's patience to start the hearing. I'd understood that there were people still logging in at a fairly substantial rate at one o'clock, so we gave it another ten minutes, but out of courtesy for everybody who's here for a one o'clock hearing, I didn't want to wait much past an extra ten minutes.

So we'll begin this hearing as we do all hearings, by getting appearances. I realize that there are quite a few people who are on Zoom for the hearing. The -- so my guidance would be if you know you're going to speak at today's hearing or you expect that you might have to speak, then those -- what we need appearances for. And if for some reason you don't make an appearance and you end up speaking, we can get your appearance later.

But, so with that, let me start by getting appearances from the counsel for the Debtors.

MR. O'NEAL: Good afternoon. Sorry, we're having a technical issue. Just one second.

THE COURT: All right. It's one of the joys of the pandemic on technical challenges, but we'll get there.

	<del></del>
	Page 17
1	MR. O'NEAL: Okay. Hopefully, Your Honor, you can
2	hear me now. It's
3	THE COURT: Hear you just fine without the Wizard
4	of Oz
5	MR. O'NEAL: making an appearance again
6	THE COURT: So
7	MR. O'NEAL: I'm here with my colleague Jane
8	VanLare.
9	THE COURT: All right. Any other folks on the
10	Debtors' team to be introduced?
11	MS. KIM: Yes, Your Honor. This is Hoori Kim from
12	Cleary Gottlieb, also here with my colleagues Christian
13	Ribeiro and Richard Minott.
14	THE COURT: Good afternoon. Any other folks from
15	the Debtors in capacity?
16	MR. O'NEAL: Your Honor, we're just testing our
17	audio here. I apologize. Can you hear us?
18	THE COURT: We can hear you just fine.
19	MR. O'NEAL: I can't hear you.
20	THE COURT: And I will say, for anybody who might
21	be having any challenges because again these things
22	occasionally happen in this a world in which we live, the
23	most important things that we can hear you. I confess I'm
24	nothing particularly exciting to look at it. It's always
25	nice when we have Zoom working appropriately, but I know

Page 18 1 people have -- will have technical challenges. So if for 2 some reason everything goes completely wrong for anyone, they should feel free to hang up and then grab a phone and 3 dial the number that Zoom provides and that will work. And 4 5 I just mentioned because I know we have quite a few people 6 on the phone. 7 All right. Any other appearances from anybody? 8 MR. O'NEAL: Thank you very much, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Sure. 10 MR. SAFERSTEIN: Your Honor --11 MR. ARONZON: This is Paul Aronzon. I'm one of 12 the declarants. I'm a special committee member and the 13 director. I'm not sure if you want my appearance, but I 14 thought I would mention I'm on. 15 THE COURT: Well I did see you. Happy to have 16 your appearance. Thank you very much. And I think there 17 was someone else who was going to chime in. 18 MR. SAFERSTEIN: Yes, good afternoon, Your Honor. 19 Jeffrey Saferstein from Weil Gotshal and Manges on behalf of 20 Digital Currency Group, which is the ultimate parent company 21 to the Debtors. 22 THE COURT: All right. Anyone else from -associated with Digital Currency Group? All right. In the 23 24 list of appearances, I did see some folks associated with 25 one or more of the Gemini entities. Let me get those

Page 19 1 appearances, if necessary. 2 MR. MARCUS: Your Honor, this is Christopher Marcus from Kirkland and Ellis on behalf of Ad Hoc Group of 3 Creditors, one of which is Gemini. I'm here with my 4 5 colleague Ross Fiedler as well. 6 THE COURT: All right. Good to have you. 7 MR. FRELINGHUYSEN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 8 This is Anson Frelinghuysen from Hughes Hubbard and Reed. 9 I'm here with my colleagues Dusty Smith and Jeff Margolin 10 and we're representing Gemini as agent for the Earn users. 11 THE COURT: All right. Good to have you as well. 12 Anyone else associated with Gemini? All right with that, 13 we'll move on to any other ad hoc groups. I know there are 14 a few in this case. Any other appearances on that score? 15 MR. ROSEN: Yes, Your Honor. This is Brian Rosen 16 from Proskauer Rose. I'm here with my colleague Jordan 17 Sazant. We represent an Ad Hoc Group of lenders in excess

THE COURT: All right. And Mr. Rosen, I can hear you, you're a little bit faint, so you might want to get closer to whatever microphone there is, just to be on the safe side. All right. Any other appearances from any other ad hoc group?

of \$1.5 billion of claims against GGC.

Let me get appearances from the United States Trustee's Office.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 20 1 MR. ZIPES: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Greg 2 Zipes with the U.S. Trustee's Office. I'm here with various 3 colleagues including Mark Bruh, my co-counsel in this matter. 4 5 THE COURT: All right, good afternoon. 6 MR. ZIPES: Good afternoon. 7 THE COURT: And there are numerous folks who have 8 registered on Zoom representing "an interested party." I 9 suspect most of those folks don't need to make an 10 appearance, but is there any other party who does need to 11 make an appearance at this point? MR. PESCE: Your Honor, it's Gregory Pesce from 12 13 White and Case on behalf of the Kimchi Fund. I'm joined 14 today by my partners Chris Shore and Phil Abelson. 15 THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon. Any other 16 folks? 17 MR. DAUCHER: Yes, Your Honor. Eric Daucher from 18 Norton Rose Fulbright on behalf of Mirana Corp. 19 THE COURT: Good afternoon. Anyone else? All 20 right, waiting sort of a Zoom appropriate amount of time for 21 anyone else to chime in. I think we appear to be set, and 22 again if somebody does need to chime in who hasn't make an 23 appearance, we'll get appearance at that time. 24 So I do have a binder of everything that was filed 25 that was sent to me. Thank you very much for that.

do also have a copy of some filings that took place afterwards. That is Docket 28, the declaration of Michael Leto as well as a revised case management order. So I have all that in front of me, and with that I will turn it over to Debtors' counsel to start to walk us through what we need to address here today.

MR. O'NEAL: Sure. Thank you, Your Honor. Sean
O'Neal again, Cleary Gottlieb Steen and Hamilton on behalf
of the Genesis Debtors. Just want to make sure you can hear
me okay.

THE COURT: I can hear you just fine.

MR. O'NEAL: Super. Okay. Appreciate your scheduling the hearing this afternoon and we look forward to answering any questions you may have. We'd like to begin with a road map for today's proceedings which will eventually lead us to a road map for the cases.

First, I just -- I'll make a few remarks and then

I'll turn it over to my colleague Jane VanLare to kind of go

through a PowerPoint presentation about Genesis' business

and then as part of that -- as part of that presentation

you'll learn that we're only dealing with part of the

Genesis business in these Chapter 11 proceedings. The only

Debtors here are three Debtors, Holding Company and GGC and

Gap.

That's really part of our borrowing and lending

business. And that's the only part of the business that's actually in these Chapter 11 proceedings. And you'll hear more about that. In addition to -- during today's presentation, you'll hear that really in the wake of tremendous dislocation in the crypto market including the bankruptcy filings of FTX which happened in early November, Genesis paused all of its lending withdrawals. That occurred on November 16th.

The purpose of this pause -- and sometimes you might hear us call it, you know, putting up the gates. As part of that pause of withdrawals, our goal was to treat creditors fairly, to treat them equitably, and to maximize recoveries for all stakeholders. And in particular, we really wanted to create a framework for discussions with creditors so we could somehow reach a consensual resolution.

And since that time we have been operating really around the clock trying to reach a resolution with our creditors. We're fortunate that we have two ad hoc groups that represent a very large portion of the debt, roughly \$2.4 billion if not more.

Secondly, after going through the presentation, we're going to through the first day motions. Jane VanLare and some of our associates will lead that process. We've been working with the U.S. Trustee over the past few days, and I'm pleased to report that I believe we've resolved all

material issues with the first day motions with the U.S.

Trustee. More on that later, but I believe that we've done that.

And importantly, as I'm sure you've already observed, we don't have a DIP motion or cash collateral motion here. We don't have encumbered cash and we don't need DIP financing. We've got more than \$150 million in unencumbered cash. And of course we're available to answer any questions that you may have as we go through the hearing, but really before we kind -- I kick it over to Ms. VanLare, I'd like to just say just a few words to kind of set up the context, with your permission.

THE COURT: Certainly.

MR. O'NEAL: So really what I -- today we'd like to talk less about where we've been but where we're going, because this is not a case without a plan. This is a case with a plan. We have a clear roadmap for this Chapter 11 case. We've filed a plan and that plan is confirmable on its face. We have outlined in terms of that plan in the Aronzon declaration and we have a timeline and an approach to get through this case as quickly as possible.

We really want to avoid getting involved in kind of a prolonged case with litigation that effectively destroys value that would otherwise be available for the creditors. That is our goal. Our number one goal here is

to reach a consensual resolution with the creditors. That's the framework that we built with our plan and our roadmap.

In terms of the parties, on the one hand, we obviously have the Debtors, the Genesis Debtors. On the other hand, we have our corporate parent, Digital Currency Group. You'll hear it called DCG. That is not part of these proceedings. They have separate counsel and they have separate advisors and it's a separate governance structure.

DCG is our largest borrower. DCG currently owes us roughly \$1.65 billion. You'll see that in the first day papers, including a \$1.1 billion note that's due in 2032.

And in addition, we have a variety of our lenders who are owed more than, you know, roughly speaking \$3.5 billion or so. And then another key player in this case is our Special Committee. The Special Committee was appointed on November 18th, 2022. You'll notice that that's a few days after the pause. It's comprised of two independent directors, Paul Aronzon whose declaration you saw and really needs no introduction given his 40 years of experience in the restructuring world, and then Tom -- Thomas Conheeney. He's a highly respected and thoughtful leader in the financial sector, having dealt with many complex situations over the course of his professional career.

The Special Committee in this case has been fully delegated, all responsibilities related to the

restructuring. That includes the decision to file bankruptcy, the decision to investigate potential claims, any kind of plan of reorganization. That is all within the purview of the of the Special Committee. And we did that because you know, DCG, our corporate parent actually owes the Debtors a substantial sum of money, \$1.65 billion.

And so we wanted to be sure that we had proper governance in place. So all of the company's advisors including Cleary and Moelis and A&M report to the Special Committee, and that's who directs our activities.

engaged as I said in 'round the clock negotiations with our lenders and DCG. I've kind of lost count, but we've exchanged at least 15 iterations of various term sheets including some, you know, not very long ago today, and we're continuing to have those discussions. They've been incredibly productive and constructive, but to date, just sitting here right now, we don't have a deal with our with our creditors but we are quite close.

And the substantial progress that we've made to date is really no accident. It's really the result of a lot of hard work, not only by the Debtors' advisors, but really by the ad hoc group advisors. Here we're dealing with Houlihan and Proskauer and Kirkland, all of whom have come to the table in a very reasonable fashion to try to help us

solve this issue and to really provide for the maximized recoveries to the creditors to the highest extent possible.

Now we're going to continue these discussions and while we do have some measure of confidence that we will succeed, you know, this is -- it is a challenging situation. And if we don't reach a resolution within the next few days, I think we called it imminently in our first day pleadings, we will be coming to Your Honor with a request to appoint a mediator. We've been at this for two months. We've made substantial progress, but it may be at some point in time we will need the help of a facilitator to bring it over the line.

As we continue the discussions with the creditors and attempts to reach a resolution, we're going to be pursuing our plan. The plan we have on file was filed actually on the petition date, and so that's very important to us to pursue that plan. It's an imminently confirmable plan that provides recoveries to the creditors.

In addition, as we're pursuing this plan, we have been asked by the Special Committee to continue an investigation into various transactions occurring before the bankruptcy petition date. That is ongoing and that is led by one of our partners at Cleary under the direction of the Special Committee and we are accelerating that that investigation at a rapid pace even as we are having our

negotiations.

In addition, as part of this process and as part of this plan, we are going to start implementing a marketing and sales process. That will be, you know, really in pursuit of a possible sale or capital raise or an equitization, but we are going to continue those efforts as well. And so there's a whole lot to do here, but we're fortunate that we have an engaged creditor body and an engaged creditor group.

And with that, Your Honor, I'm happy to answer any questions or we can just turn it over to Ms. VanLare for the first day presentation.

THE COURT: I'm happy to turn it over to Ms.

VanLare at this point. Please proceed, Counsel.

MR. O'NEAL: Thank you, Your Honor.

MS. VANLARE: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Jane
VanLare, Cleary Gottlieb Steen and Hamilton, proposed
counsel to the Debtors. It's a pleasure to be before you
again, Your Honor.

As for my part of the presentation, what I'd like to do is give you an overview, as Mr. O'Neal has said. We have a presentation prepared. And then we'll go into the presentation of the first day motions. Before we do that though, Your Honor, I'd just like to briefly introduce some members of our team who will be presenting some of the first

Page 28 1 motions. So with us in the virtual courtroom here today are 2 my colleagues, Mr. Minott, Mr. Christian Ribeiro, and Ms. Hoori Kim. In addition --3 THE COURT: All right. I can see them all here. 4 5 Thank you for the introduction. 6 MS. VANLARE: Thank you, Your Honor. IN addition, 7 we also do have here our first day declarants. We do have three. Mr. Aronzon already introduced himself. In 8 9 addition, Your Honor, we have Mr. Derar Islim who is an 10 interim CEO for the company. We also have Mr. Michael Leto 11 who is the managing director with Alvarez and Marsal, 12 proposed financial advisor to the company, and all three 13 submitted first day declarations. 14 And actually before I move into my presentation, 15 I'd like to move their declarations into evidence, Your 16 Honor. 17 THE COURT: All right. Anybody wish to be heard 18 as to the request to move the three declarations into 19 evidence for purposes of today's first day hearing, 20 including consideration of the first day motions. 21 MR. ZIPES: Your Honor, Greg Zipes with the U.S. 22 Trustee's Office. No objection, but we may have questions 23 for the declarants, depending on what happens. We're not anticipating that necessarily, but we reserve our rights. 24

THE COURT: All right, that's fine. Anyone else

1 wish to be heard? All right. Hearing no further responses, I'm happy to accept those declarations and by -- in 3 accepting them, I'm going to accept the declaration of Mr. Leto that's at Docket No. 28 as opposed to the one that was 4 5 filed earlier. I think there were some technical things 6 that need to be addressed and that led to the filing and 7 that's why it's not a -- it's in substance the same, is 8 understanding, which is why it's not listed as an amended or 9 corrected declaration. The declaration is the same. 10 think it's just a matter of what information was blacked 11 Is that right, Counsel? out. 12 MS. VANLARE: That's exactly right, Your Honor. 13 Nothing in the declaration itself was changed in any way. 14 The only difference was that certain information in the 15 creditor list was redacted, and I will explain more as to 16 why we did what we did as part of the presentation of the 17 first day motions. THE COURT: All right. Yeah, no, I just wanted to 18 19 mention that just in case somebody was confused by the fact 20 that they clicked on, I think it's Docket 18 and they were 21 directed to Docket 28. It's the same document. It's just a 22 matter of sort of the up-to-date redactions. So, great. 23 Yeah, I think that'll come up in connection with one of the 24 motions. All right. Please --25 MS. VANLARE: Yes, Your Honor. Okay. With that,

I will ask my colleague Mr. Minott to share the presentation.

THE COURT: All right. I have it up on my screen which I assume -- which I assume means that everybody else does as well, so proceed.

MS. VANLARE: Excellent. Thank you, Your Honor.

So Richard, if we could scroll to the to the org chart.

We'll start, Your Honor, with giving you a brief overview of the corporate structure and then we'll proceed to describe the operations and tell you about why we're here in Chapter 11 and what we hope to achieve.

First just to set the stage, we did want to have the org chart in front of you. As Mr. O'Neal has already previewed, at the top, you can see there digital currency group. That's the parent. We refer to them as DCG. Below that entity is Genesis Global HoldCo. That is one of the three Debtors. That's a holding company. And on this org chart, Your Honor, the Debtor entities are shaded in gray. That way you can see which entities are in Chapter 11 and which are not.

And you can see that a number of our affiliates are not in bankruptcy. So briefly, I mentioned Genesis Global HoldCo is the holding company. To the left, bottom and left, is Genesis Global Capital LLC. That is another Debtor. That entity is primarily engaged in the business of

borrowing and lending digital assets. As you may have seen from our first day presentation, the lending business was paused on November 16th, so it's currently not engaging in that business, but that is its core business.

Then we also have on the right Genesis Asia

Pacific, that's a Singapore entity. We -- the deviation for that entity is GAP. That entity is also involved in the lending business. Similarly, that business was paused on November 16th. GAP is also involved in some limited trading, which I'll describe later on in the presentation.

In addition, under Genesis HoldCo, there are a number of other entities. I'll describe the other parts of the business later on, but just to point out the other entities on the left. There's a custody entity. There's a custody business. There is also kind of in the center on the bottom there's GGC International. That's an entity that is engaged in derivative services and also has some trading. Those entities are not part of the Chapter 11 proceeding.

There are number of other entities here as well that don't have significant, if any, business operations.

Also I'd like to point out there's a non-Debtor affiliate that's a sister company to Genesis HoldCo. That's Genesis Global Trading. That's directly underneath DCG to the right of HoldCo. We refer to that as GGT. That entity is a broker dealer. It is not part of the Chapter 11

proceedings. It is of course an affiliate. So with that, if we could move on to the next slide.

Okay. So as I previewed just now, there are four principal types of services that are offered. There's a trading business, a yield and borrowing or lending business, a derivatives business, and a custody business. So briefly, I'll just spend a little bit of time describing each of these.

With trading, these are primarily spot trading services for institutional investors. Just to give Your Honor sense of the magnitude of the business, through third quarter of 2022, the spot trading volume was over \$38 billion. This entity -- excuse me -- this business is carried on primarily by GGT, the entity that I mentioned a few minutes ago that is a sister company to HoldCo. There's also some limited trading activity at the Singapore entity which is a Debtor.

And on that, just like to describe the type of trading that is continuing. Unlike the lending business which has been paused as I mentioned, the Singapore entity is continuing some limited trading, the spot trading.

Essentially, clients pre-fund to -- and the Singapore entity GAP undertakes trades on behalf of those clients. There is -- GAP takes minimal risk in executing those trades because as I mentioned they are pre-funded, and we think it's

important, Your Honor, for the business as a whole to preserve that activity.

Next we've got the lending business. That's the second column. This is really the bulk of what the Debtors were doing prior to the pause. That's GGC, the Genesis capital entity. That's its primary business. And again, to give you a sense of the magnitude here through third quarter of 2022, GGC and CAP originated loans totaling over \$93 billion. As I mentioned, this is GGC which is a Debtor, and GAP which is one of the other Debtors.

Next, we have a derivatives business. That is carried on by non-Debtor affiliates, primarily GGCI, and the volume there through third quarter was over \$73 billion.

Last, there is a custody business. I pointed out the entity when we were looking at the org chart. There is a custody business as well and that -- just again to give some sense of the magnitude, approximately 90 customers as of year end. With that, if we can go on to the next slide, please.

So the events leading up. Why are we here? As

I'm sure you know, Your Honor, the digital assets industry

has been experiencing a lot of difficulties over the course

of the last six months. It all -- there are a number of key

events that lead to the so-called crypto winter. First, you

had the Terra Luna collapse in May of '22. That was

promptly followed by the liquidation of Three Arrows Capital in June. After that, Voyager and Celsius filed for bankruptcy in July of 2022. And then in November -- and this is really important to our Debtors -- FTX bankruptcy occurred on November 11th and 14th of 2022.

Each of these events led to a decrease in investor confidence in digital assets and the market really hadn't fully recovered from the Terra Luna collapse that I mentioned from May and the liquidation of Three Arrows Capital, and so what happened in the fall of 2022 and particularly precipitated by the FTX bankruptcy is that Genesis received an extremely high number and volume of calls on its loans and essentially faced a run on the bank. We could have the next slide please.

So what did we do in response? Fairly quickly, we instituted a pause on November 16th. Genesis paused all withdrawals and this was done to preserve and maximize the value of the Debtors to ensure fair distribution to the creditors and to stop the run on the bank. So all lending and borrowing business was paused and that remains today -- it remains true today.

We also undertook some governance initiatives that Mr. O'Neal described. We formed a Special Committee for Genesis Global HoldCo on November 18th. That Special Committee is comprised of two independent directors, Paul

Aronzon and Thomas Conheeney. The board fully delegated all matters relating to the restructuring to the Special Committee, including the decision to file for Chapter 11 proceedings.

The company also hired advisers -- Cleary

Gottlieb, Moelis and Company, Alvarez and Marsal -- that

report directly to the Special Committee. The Special

Committee has met frequently, Your Honor, to discuss and

evaluate various matters within its purview relating to the

Debtors. And as part of that, and as my colleague

described, Mr. O'Neal, the Special Committee also commenced

an investigation into certain prepetition transactions in

accordance with its fiduciary duties.

We've all -- we've had a tremendous, tremendous level of stakeholder engagement since mid-November. Under the direction of the Special Committee, we've been engaged with around the clock discussions with various creditors, creditor groups, representing more than \$2 billion in outstanding loans. We've provided a substantial amount of information to advisors to the creditors who've conducted diligence.

We've exchanged more than 12 iterations of a term sheet. We believe we're close, as Mr. O'Neal had mentioned,; and will continue working extremely hard to achieve a resolution.

We've also been engaged with DCG and its advisors.

Few words about the capital structure, the assets. So as of the petition date, the Debtors have more than 150 million in cash, approximately 500 million in digital assets, approximately 385 million in shares and brokerage accounts. We also have approximately 505 million in outstanding loans where GGC is the lender on those loans to third parties. We note in that -- in connection with those loans, GC received approximately 553 million in collateral.

We also, as we mentioned, have substantial claims against the DCG entities including loans in the amount of approximately 575 million maturing in May of 2023 and a \$1.1 billion promissory that matures in 2032.

Now a few words about our outstanding obligations. So we have institutional and high net worth lenders. As of November 30th, GGC and GAP had outstanding borrowings of approximately 2.6 billion with a almost 600 nonaffiliated lenders. And we've posted approximately 351 million in collateral. We also have the Gemini lenders, so Gemini Trust Company acts as an agent in connection with GGC's borrowing of digital assets from Gemini's customers. Genesis does not deal directly with any of them. We don't know their identity or the loan amounts or repayment schedules.

On November 16th, 2022, Gemini foreclosed on the

collateral. And the proceeds from the sale of that collateral amounted to almost 284 -- for approximately \$284 million. We do dispute that that foreclosure was done in accordance with applicable law.

A few words on the restructuring to date and our next steps. So just as a brief introduction to the advisors, we've got Cleary Gottlieb, proposed counsel;

Moelis & Company, proposed investment banker; Kroll as our proposed notice and claims agent; and we've got Alvarez and Marsal, proposed financial advisor to the Debtors. All of the advisors have been working very, very hard over the last two months to get to today and will continue doing so if we are retained to bring this case to a close.

The plan. As Mr. O'Neal has already previewed, we do intend to conduct a marketing and sales process and/or raise additional capital. If the process does not result in the sale of a business, the equity interests in GGH which is the HoldCo entity will be distributed to the Debtors' creditors.

We filed a plan, as Your Honor saw, as Mr. O'Neal described, and under that plan and -- general unsecured claims would receive a combination of cash and other assets, equity interests in HoldCo, and then general unsecured creditor trust units, entitling them to receive pro rata shares proceeds from certain claims.

Next steps, Your Honor. We intend to continue working very hard. Continue engaging in discussions with creditors and make revisions to the plan, if those agreements are reached. If they're not reached, as Mr. O'Neal has mentioned, we do intend to request the appointment of a mediator. Our hope is to facilitate a global resolution and do so as quickly and efficiently as we can so we can return value and distribute value to our creditors. Thank you, Your Honor. That concludes our overview presentation. We'd like to move into the first day motions, but before I do that I'd like to give an opportunity, with your permission Your Honor, to counsel to some of the other advisers to the ad hoc groups to say a few words if they wish before moving on to the first day relief. THE COURT: All right, I'm happy to do that. did have one question based on your presentation, what the word imminently meant in this context. I realize that there's no precise date, I would imagine, but I wasn't sure of what your general timeline is. Had a case filed this summer, for example, what was in a similar circumstance. So I'm just curious what you envision --MR. O'NEAL: Sure. THE COURT: -- imminently looking like in this --MR. O'NEAL: Sure, and I -- Your Honor, Sean

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 39 1 O'Neal again. And I think you're referring to our 2 suggestion that if we don't reach a deal imminently, we will ask Your Honor to appoint a mediator. Is that correct? 3 THE COURT: Right. Correct. 5 MR. O'NEAL: Yes. I would say imminently is 6 really end of this week. And if we don't reach a conclusion 7 by the end of this week, at least to a deal in principle, we 8 will seek the appointment of a mediator. It has been two 9 months. Actually sitting here right now, I think we can --10 I don't think we're going to need a mediator. I think we're 11 going to be able to get to a deal. We've got very engaged 12 in productive discussions going on. 13 THE COURT: All right. We can talk about that in 14 the context of further proceedings at the end of the 15 hearing, but it sounds like that would fall between today 16 and a second day hearing if that's necessary. So I'll make 17 sure to get you whatever time you need, to the extent it 18 might be something like a status conference or whatever it 19 is, to touch base on that. And so we'll get there, but it 20 sounds like let's go through the motions first and then we 21 can talk about scheduling towards the end. 22 MR. O'NEAL: We appreciate it, and also Your 23 Honor, I'm very much an optimist. So --24 THE COURT: Well, I don't want to rain on any 25

parades at this point --

Page 40 1 MR. O'NEAL: Right. So don't --2 THE COURT: -- certainly that's fine. 3 MR. O'NEAL: Yeah. Yes. Thank you. MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, this is Brian Rosen. 4 Are 5 you able to hear me a little bit getter now? 6 THE COURT: Yeah, I can hear you just fine. Thank 7 you. 8 MR. ROSEN: Thank you, Your Honor. And thank you, 9 Ms. VanLare for passing the baton over this way. Your 10 Honor, as I said before, we represent a group of creditors 11 holding in excess of \$1.5 billion worth of claims and we 12 have been working very collaboratively with Mr. Marcus' 13 group from Kirkland and Ellis who holds a considerable 14 amount of claims as well to create essentially a unified 15 creditor body to have conversations with the Debtor and with 16 DCG. 17 We did bring along Houlihan Lokey to serve as our financial advisor to assist in those conversations. And as 18 19 Mr. O'Neal has said, we've been negotiating for the better 20 part or at least gathering information and then negotiating 21 for the better part of approximately two months. And I 22 loved his positive attitude about imminent. We all thought 23 imminent might have been over the weekend, but unfortunately 24 we were unable to get there. But we are hopeful as we inch 25 little bit by bit closer and closer to trying to reach an

accord by the end of this week.

Only time will tell. There are some economic issues that remain between the parties and our principles do engage in direct communications, both by text and phone and whatever other means they do in this pandemic world, as you indicated before. But we are getting closer and we're hopeful that we will reach an accord.

As Mr. O'Neal said, in the event that we're unsuccessful though, I believe that the unsecured creditors would be supportive of the mediation process and working side by side with Cleary Gottlieb and the Debtors in that regard to try and reach an understanding with respect to the claims and cause of action that the Debtors believe are outstanding and in connection with the overall restructuring.

Unless Your Honor has any questions, I would pass the podium to someone else.

THE COURT: All right. I do not. Thank you very much for that information. Any other party wish to be heard as to the status of the case?

MR. MARCUS: Your Honor, this is Chris Marcus from Kirkland and Ellis. Can you hear me okay?

THE COURT: Can hear you just fine.

MR. MARCUS: Thanks, Your Honor. So one additional point that I wanted to make, you know, to add on

what everybody is saying. You know, we do represent a group of GDC creditors that hold approximately a billion and a half in claim amounts. Those are comprised of both domestic and foreign institutions. Also one of those is, as I mentioned before, Gemini Trust Company.

So it's really not just institutions we're talking about. It's traditional type of trade creditors that we see in a lot of these Chapter 11 cases. In these crypto cases, you know, we have individuals and in this case there's literally hundreds of thousands of individuals whose money is at stake. And we have been focused -- I agree with Mr. Rosen.

I agree with Mr. O'Neal about the work that everybody's putting in, the ad hoc group, Kirkland, Proskauer, Houlihan, the folks representing DCG as well have been working around the clock, and the Debtors' professionals, to get to a value maximizing recovery for all creditors, but not just value maximizing, value maximizing as quickly as possible so we can get recovery back into the hands of the individuals that we're looking out for here.

So there is some more work to do. I'm going to be cautiously optimistic as well, but I can confirm what everybody said already, Your Honor, about the hard work that's gone into getting us to the point where we are.

Hopefully, we can get there before mediation is required.

Page 43 1 Thank you, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: All right, thank you. Any other party that wishes to be heard as to status before we turn to the 3 first day motions? 4 5 MR. SAFERSTEIN: Your Honor, if I may, Jeffrey 6 Saferstein from Weil Gotshal and Manges on behalf of Digital 7 Currency Group. 8 THE COURT: Certainly. 9 MR. SAFERSTEIN: Your Honor, I just want to echo 10 everybody's comments here on behalf of DCG, the parent 11 company. We want nothing more than to see a successful 12 restructuring here and to do it quickly. And as everybody 13 has said, we've been working around the clock to try to come 14 to a deal. I do think we are very close from our 15 perspective as well. We will continue to work hard to come 16 to an agreement and we hope to be able to report back to the 17 Court shortly that we're there and we can resolve this case, 18 you know, in a quick manner and one that's acceptable to all 19 parties. Thank you. 20 THE COURT: Thank you. Any other party that 21 wishes to be heard on status? 22 MR. DAUCHER: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 23 Daucher from Norton Rose Fulbright on behalf of Mirana Corp. I just wanted to address the Court briefly this afternoon. 24 25 Mirana Corp. is one of the major creditors of Debtor Genesis

Asia Pacific, which to my knowledge sets it apart a little bit from the ad hoc group with which the Debtors have been engaging, which again to my knowledge are comprised of creditors of the Debtor Genesis Global Capital.

We haven't participated in the discussions that all the parties have mentioned, which sound promising. Very much interested in seeing how much progress is being made and whether that stands to benefit all of the creditors or just the creditors of one or two of the Debtors. And we note that the first day declaration mentioned at a very high level a number of pre-bankruptcy transactions between and among the Debtors and certain of their affiliates and controlling parties.

At least as an initial matter, Mirana has some serious concerns about some of those transactions. And so we look forward to a full explanation of them and hopefully a deal that resolves them in a way that is acceptable to creditors of all Debtors. So with that, I'll cede the virtual podium. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you. Any other party that wishes to be heard?

MR. ZIPES: Your Honor, Greg Zipes with the U.S.

Trustee's Office. I wanted to point out, first of all that

my office is soliciting for a Creditors Committee in this

case and it's -- the deadline is January 30th. We are --

the notice is posted on the Kroll website as well as U.S.

Trustee website as well and we had sent out emails to the

Debtors' list of top 50 creditors. So that's number one.

We also wanted to acknowledge the professionalism of everybody involved. My office has been involved with this case at least in the last week and Debtors counsels at all time (indiscernible) professional and responsive to us. That said, Your Honor, my office does have concerns which may not be a first day issue concern, but it deals with some questions that need to be answered.

Among other things is the corporate structure as was described, the debt under the Debtors' narrative, DCG is sort of separate at the top there, but DCG did appoint directors to the company's board of directors and they now have the structure of a Special Committee which my office has further questions on, the investigations that are taking place of transactions, that are being conducted by the Special Committee and at its directing Cleary Gottlieb, which is Debtors' counsel.

And again, Your Honor, these are not necessarily first day issues. We're just flagging a few general points. On the sealing issue, my -- which the Court will get to, we just note that these sophisticated creditors on the top 50 list and there's obviously been some litigation in the Southern District and with the U.S. Trustee's Office on the

extent of the sealing motions. That again, we don't think is a today issue.

We've come to temporary resolutions with the

Debtor on that. We have questions about the cryptocurrency
aspect of the Debtors' assets and their safety generally.

We have -- and I'm not in any way implying there's an issue
that we're aware of but FTX, there was a hack within the
first days of the bankruptcy case and under the 345 motion
and various motions, the Debtors are going to have to
explain these more fulsomely how they intend to protect
these assets.

entities and the trading has -- the lending has been frozen, but we do have this GAP entity in Singapore which is doing spot trading. And the Court (indiscernible) \$38 billion approximately for that. The Debtors are seeking even today for an interim order that will allow that trading to continue, and at least today they are going to have to explain why that's important, we believe. The SEC has filed a complaint and I do understand that Debtors contest that, but nevertheless there is that complaint that has been filed very recently.

And finally Your Honor, just generally the intercompany loans and transactions. You saw the corporate chart and the GGT entity which is not in bankruptcy. It

Page 47 1 handles a lot of the transactions between the Debtors and 2 there are a lot of -- and it handles Debtors' and non-Debtor 3 transactions. And there are questions relating to that 4 again, probably tying into the cash management motion. But 5 Your Honor, I should also note --6 THE COURT: Well, I think some of those are very 7 specifically directed to certain motions. So we'll go 8 through them and see where --9 MR. ZIPES: Yep. 10 THE COURT: -- see where we are. 11 MR. ZIPES: Very well, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much, Mr. 13 Zipes. Any other party that wishes to be heard as to status 14 before we turn to the motions themselves? 15 All right. I'll turn it back over to Debtors' 16 counsel to kick off the motions, no doubt with the Joint 17 Administration. MS. VANLARE: Indeed, Your Honor. Thank you very 18 19 much. Jane VanLare here from Cleary Gottlieb. 20 Honor, if you'll permit us, we'll go mostly in order but 21 slightly out of order just to group motion by the individual 22 presenting that motion. So we'll start --23 THE COURT: That's fine. 24 MS. VANLARE: We appreciate that very much, Your 25 We'll start with my colleague Mr. Ribeiro.

then go to Mr. Minott, then Ms. Hoori Kim, and then I will present the remaining few motions. So with that, I will pass the virtual podium to Mr. Ribeiro to begin with the joint administration motion.

5 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. Mr. 6 Ribeiro?

MR. RIBEIRO: Good morning, Your Honor. Christian Ribeiro, Cleary Gottlieb Steen and Hamilton, proposed counsel to the Debtor. So the first motion I'll be presenting today is the joint administration motion which is filed at Docket No. 2 and should be Binder Tab No. 4 in the binder we provided to Your Honor.

So by this motion, Debtors seek the entry of a proposed order which is attached to the motion as Exhibit A and the proposed order would direct the joint administration of the Chapter 11 cases for procedural purposes only and would allow them to maintain one file, one docket, and one service list for all of the Chapter 11 cases under the case of general -- Genesis Global HoldCo LLC and that the Chapter 11 cases be administered under the caption which is provided in Paragraph 9 of the motion and also Paragraph 3 of the proposed order which lists Genesis Global HoldCo LLC as the caption, is administered under Case No. 23-163.

The Debtors also request that the Court order that the foregoing caption -- the caption listed in Paragraph 9 -

- satisfy the requirements set forth in Section 342(c)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code. The basis for the relief requested in the motion is Bankruptcy Rule 1015(b) which allows -- which provides that if two or more petitions are pending in the same Court by a Debtor and affiliate, the Court may order joint administration of the cases, and here all affiliates - all of the Debtors are affiliates as that term is defined under Section 1012 of the bankruptcy code.

We believe that joint administration will ease the administrative burden for the Court and the parties in interest and will reduce by parties' fees and costs of avoiding duplicative fillings and they will also allow the U.S. Trustee and other parties in interest to monitor Chapter 11 cases with greater ease and efficiency.

So the Debtors submit the joint administration of the Chapter 11 cases is in the best interests of their estates, their creditors, and all parties in interests and we additionally note that this is ordinary relief that is typically granted in other related cases, including in cases before Your Honor.

With that, unless you have any questions, we respectfully request the entry of the proposed order in the form of Exhibit A.

THE COURT: All right, thank you very much, and I assume obviously based on what's in Paragraph 8 of the

Page 50 1 proposed order, this doesn't constitute in any way, shape, 2 or form, substantive consolidation? 3 MR. RIBEIRO: Correct. Yes. Thank you, Your 4 Honor. 5 THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Any party wish 6 to be heard in connection with the motion for a joint 7 administration of these related Chapter 11 cases? Hearing 8 no response, I'm happy to grant the motion as entirely appropriate. For those who are not familiar with the 9 10 bankruptcy process, it really is -- this motion is granted 11 so that the case proceeds in an organized way and we have 12 one hearing rather than have three separate hearings to 13 accomplish very similar things. 14 So it's really a matter of managing the docket 15 efficiently and hearings efficiently and the case 16 efficiently. So there's no substantive consolidation 17 meaning it doesn't affect the merits of anybody's rights, 18 its creditor, Debtor in connection with the actual substance 19 of how things should happen under the Bankruptcy Code. 20 All right, I'm happy to grant that motion is 21 entirely appropriate for all the reasons set forth in the 22 motion explained here today. So that motion is granted. 23 Next up. 24 MR. RIBEIRO: Thank you, Your Honor. We 25 appreciate that and we'll submit the proposed order to

chambers.

THE COURT: All right, and just about that, what would be great is -- there's no doubt a few tweaks here or there, is if you could send around one or two orders, depending on things just -- even an order like this one, I don't think has any changes, it's always good to make sure we have the most up to date order. So just when you send it along, you can send them along in tranches and just say here are all the orders we're going to send another email with a few more. That would be much appreciated.

MR. RIBEIRO: Okay. Sounds good, Your Honor.

Thank you. So again Christian Ribeiro, Cleary Steen and

Hamilton, proposed counsel for the Debtors, presenting the

next motion which is the Debtors' schedule extension motion

and that's at Docket No. 3 and should be Binder Tab No. 5.

So by this motion, the Debtors request authority pursuant to section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 1007(c) to extend the 14-day period to file their schedules of assets and liabilities, their schedules of executive contracts and unexpired leases, and also their statements of financial affairs by an additional 35 days for a total of 49-day extension from the petition date which would result in a deadline of March 8th, 2023.

And the Debtors also request an extension of their time under Rule 2015.3 to file their reports of financial

information with respect to entities in which the Debtors hold controlling or substantial interests. They seek an extension of that deadline of 35 days.

And with respect to both of these extensions, they are without prejudice to the Debtors rights to request additional time under an agreement with the U.S. Trustee which would not require additional Court approval, should further extension be required.

So we seek this extension of the deadlines for filing the schedules and statements under Rule 1007(c) which allows for an extension of the time to file schedules and statements on a motion for cause shown and on notice to the U.S. Trustee. We believe that extension here would be appropriate given the size, the geographical spread, and the complexity of the Debtors' operations.

Debtors anticipate they will not be able to complete their schedules and statements in the 14-day period required under the Bankruptcy Code. There's a vast amount of information that must be compiled, assembled, and collecting that information requires a significant expenditure of time and effort on the part of the Debtors, their employees, and their professionals.

We believe that there will be no prejudice to the Court granting the request for an extension including the deadline and the Debtors anticipate that they will require

at least 35 days -- 35 additional days to complete schedules.

THE COURT: All right, thank you very much. Any party wish to be heard in connection with this motion?

MR. ZIPES: Your Honor, my office has no objection to that. Your Honor, I do note -- and perhaps I missed it in the order, that the Court doesn't need to sign off on any extension of time. It wouldn't be our intention to make that difficult, but we do think the Court should be signing off on any extension of time.

THE COURT: Well, the other thing is there at least should be notice to relevant parties, so if you all work out an additional extension and you want to put a notice on the Docket, that may be one way to make it efficient but to keep all interested parties fully apprised. That work for you, Mr. Zipes?

MR. ZIPES: That works, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. So yeah, so if you would just tweak -- if Debtors' counsel would just tweak that last sentence of Paragraph 2 to say it's without prejudice, right to seek additional time from the U.S. Trustee without additional Court approval should it become necessary -- and if the additional time become necessary, provided that any additional extensions of time agreed upon shall be set forth in a notice on the docket or something. I'm sure you can

	Page 54
1	clean that up in a more eloquent way, but just that concept
2	would be great.
3	MR. RIBEIRO: Will do, Your Honor. We'll make
4	that change to Paragraph 2. There's also the request to
5	extend the period in 2015.3. In the motion, we actually had
6	initially requested an extension of that period by 60 days,
7	but we'll actually be requesting an extension of 35 days.
8	THE COURT: Yeah, I was going to ask you about
9	that because I heard you say 35 and I saw the order says 60,
10	so
11	MR. RIBEIRO: Right.
12	THE COURT: It's going to be 35 so they run
13	together, which makes a lot of sense.
14	MR. RIBEIRO: Correct. Actually, the deadline
15	under 2015.3 is pegged to the 341 meeting at seven days for
16	
17	THE COURT: All right.
18	MR. RIBEIRO: the first state set. But just to
19	have a consistent 35-day request and so that's what we'll be
20	doing.
21	THE COURT: All right.
22	MR. RIBEIRO: We'll make the revised order and
23	submit that to your
24	THE COURT: All right.
25	MR. RIBEIRO: Your chambers.

THE COURT: That's fine. Anyone else wish to be heard on this motion? All right, hearing no response -further response, I'm happy to grant this motion as it's been amended here on the record this afternoon as appropriate under the facts and circumstances of the case and applicable law, recognizing that this information is challenging to put together in these cases, given the timeline of the case here as set forth in the various papers, this timeframe discussed here is entirely appropriate. So I'm happy to approve it.

MR. RIBEIRO: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Next up.

MR. RIBEIRO: And again, last -- one last time,
Christian Ribeiro, Cleary Steen and Hamilton for the -proposed counsel for the Debtors. The third first day
motion I'll be presenting is the Kroll claims and notice
agent application and that's at Docket No. 12 and should be
Binder Tab No. 7.

THE COURT: All right, I have it. Thank you.

MR. RIBEIRO: So this is the application for the appointment of Kroll Restructuring Administration LLC as claims and noticing agent, pursuant to Section 156(c) of the Bankruptcy Code. We seek an order in the form of the order -- proposed order attached as Exhibit A and in support of this motion we rely on the declaration from the managing

director of Kroll, Mr. Benjamin Steele, who is also on the line should Your Honor have any questions for him on this.

Now, the Debtors request entry of an order appointing coal as the claims and noticing agent for the Debtors in the Chapter 11 cases to assume full responsibility for the distribution of notices and the maintenance, processing, and docketing of proof of claims filed in the Chapter 11 cases.

The Debtors' selection of Kroll to act as the claims and noticing agent satisfies the Court's protocol for the employment of claims and noticing agents under 28 U.S.C. Section 156(c) and in that the Debtors have obtained and reviewed engagement proposal from at least two other Courtapproved claims and noticing agents to ensure selection through a competitive process.

The Debtors have determined based on these proposals obtained that Kroll's rates are competitive and reasonable given Kroll's quality of services and expertise. And also a copy of the engagement agreement is included with the motion attached as Exhibit C. The Debtors believe that or anticipate that there will be over 600 entities that will need to be noticed and Local Rule 5075-1(b)(1) provides that in a case in which the number of creditors and equity security holders in the aggregate is 250 or more, the estate shall retain, subject to approval of the Court, a claims and

1 noticing agent in accordance with the claims agent protocol.

And so in light of the number of anticipated claimants and the complexity of the Debtors' businesses, the Debtors submit that the appointment of the claims and noticing agents is actually required by Local Rule 5075-1(b) and is in the best interest of the Debtors' estates and their creditors, so we believe Kroll is to act, claims and administrative agent and if Your Honor, agrees, I would request entry of the proposed order today.

THE COURT: All right, thank you very much. Any party wish to be heard in connection with the motion to retain Kroll Restructuring Administration LLC as claims and noticing agent? All right, hearing no response, I'm happy to approve the request as entirely appropriate under the facts and circumstance, for all the reasons set forth in the motion and explained on the record here this afternoon.

I do appreciate the inclusion of Paragraph 16 in the proposed order to address the issue that's percolated in some other cases dealing with providing of information to third parties who are involved in claims trading and with that, that motion is granted. I don't have any changes to the proposed order. So next up.

MR. RIBEIRO: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. RIBEIRO: I'll now pass the podium to my

Pg 58 of 113 Page 58 1 colleague Mr. Minott. 2 MR. MINOTT: Good afternoon, Your Honor. For the record, Richard Minott of Cleary Gottlieb Steen and 3 Hamilton, proposed counsel to the Debtors. I'll be 4 5 presenting the next three items on the agenda, starting with 6 the Debtor's motion for entry of interim and final orders 7 authorizing the payment of certain taxes and fees, which is 8 about which is found --9 THE COURT: All right. 10 MR. MINOTT: -- at docket -- which is found at 11 Docket No. 9 and is at Tab 11 of Your Honor's binder. 12 THE COURT: All right, I have it before me. 13 Proceed. 14 MR. MINOTT: By virtue of the Debtors' operations 15 in Singapore, Debtor entity Genesis Asia Pacific which I'll 16 refer to as GAP, incurs certain tax obligations from time to 17 time and is required to pay certain mandatory licensing 18 imposed by the money authority of Singapore. By the taxes 19 and fees motion, the Debtors seek authority to pay an 20 aggregate amount of up to \$110,000 on account of certain 21 goods and services taxes and licensing fees incurred by GAP 22 through its operations in Singapore. 23

Your Honor, the Debtors seek authority pursuant to the motion to make such payments for certain taxes and fees accrued prepetition and are unpaid or may be incurred post-

24

Pg 59 of 113 Page 59 1 petition. Unless Your Honor has any questions, then I 2 respectfully request that Your Honor approve the motion and enter an order substantially in the form attached to the 3 motion as Exhibit A. 4 5 THE COURT: All right, thank you very much. 6 party wish to be heard in connection with the request for an 7 interim order authorizing payment of certain taxes and fees? 8 MR. ZIPES: No, Your Honor. As stated, this is an 9 interim order. 10 THE COURT: All right, thank you very much. 11 Anyone else? All right, hearing no responses, my only question for you is whether you wanted to include in the 12 interim order that information about the taxes and fees will 13 14 be shared with any Official Committee appointed and whether 15 you wanted to include the ad hocs or not. I'll leave to you 16 all, pursuant to your discussions. You obviously have been 17 discussing things. So I think you have your relationship well in hand. 18

> But I often see that kind of language just in connection with any Committee that's appointed, so any thoughts?

MR. MINOTT: We can discuss that with the various parties, Your Honor, and take that into consideration and propose a revised order if needed.

> All right, thank you very much. THE COURT:

19

20

21

22

23

24

right. Anyone else wish to be heard? All right. I'm happy to grant this request for an interim order on the payment of certain taxes and fees for all the reasons set forth in the motion and summarized on the record here this afternoon.

Thank you very much. Next up, Counsel?

MR. MINOTT: Thank you, Your Honor. Up next is the Debtors' critical vendor motion which was filed at Docket No 11 and is Tab 10 of Your Honor's binder.

THE COURT: All right. Give me one second to just find my appropriate sticky note. Voila. All right. I'm there. Thank you very much, Counsel. Proceed.

MR. MINOTT: Your Honor, by the critical vendor motion, the Debtors seek to pay the prepetition claims of various vendors whose goods and services are essential to the Debtors' day to day operations. The critical vendor motion largely pertains to certain foreign vendors who provide goods and services to the Singapore Debtor entity GAP or Genesis Asia Pacific, and they consider themselves to be outside of the jurisdiction of this Court.

These critical vendors provide essential specialized goods and services including information technology platforms, cybersecurity, infrastructure, maintenance, and other resources for the Debtors' operations. If access to these goods and services are cut off or disrupted even for a limited amount of time, the

Debtors and all parties in interest would suffer irreparable harm. Your Honor, based on their books and records, the Debtors estimate that as of the petition date they owe approximately \$100,000 in outstanding prepetition vendor claims and the interim relief sought by the Debtors today is \$70,000.

Your Honor, I'd like to note the U.S. Trustee has requested a list of the vendors which will provide promptly after this hearing, and we'll make that list available to the Court and any Official Committee appointed upon request.

So unless Your Honor has any questions at this time, the Debtors respectfully request that Your Honor approve the motion and enter an order substantially in the form attached to the motion as Exhibit A.

THE COURT: All right. So I did have couple of questions that you would help me with. I think you just answered one, but in critical vendors, the question is always numerator and denominator, right, what's the total number of the pool versus what's being asked to be paid here? I recognize the amount is a fairly modest amount in a case of the size and that's, I think, a bit of an understatement even. But am I right in understanding that the numerator and denominator really are both \$100,000 for purposes of a final order, that it's a request to pay all of the outstanding amounts? Is that right?

Page 62 1 MR. MINOTT: That's correct, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: All right. And in connection with 3 that, I certainly, as you explain in your motion and Courts here are certainly well aware of the challenges dealing with 4 5 foreign vendors and how those are sort of in a dramatically 6 different position, or lots of reasons that you explain. It 7 sounds like the majority of the creditors here, the critical 8 vendors are foreign. Do you have any sense of what 9 percentage we're talking about? 10 MR. MINOTT: That's exactly right, Your Honor. So 11 I would say that the list of about 15, a little more than 15 12 vendors, all but four are foreign vendors. 13 THE COURT: All right, thank you. And as -- of 14 the total request for today and on a final basis, I'm 15 assuming that the number of critical vendors who are 16 foreign, does that follow form for the percentage of the 17 obligations? 18 MR. MINOTT: That's correct, yes, Your Honor. 19 We're happy to provide --20 THE COURT: I mean, it's -- I understand it's 21 rough. It won't be precise but just to get --22 MR. MINOTT: Right. Yes, it's proportional to the to the amount of vendors and kind of which are critical 23 which are foreign. And we'll provide that list to the 24 25 Trustee in case they have any questions, but it is.

proportional.

THE COURT: All right. And so for foreign vendors, obviously there's a sort of a separate set of issues that lead to request for critical vendors, all foreign vendors to be paid. For the domestic folks, is there any more meat on the bones that you can give me in terms of the kinds of services?

Certainly Paragraph 14 in the motion explains generally and I recognize that there wasn't an extensive discussion of what these critical vendors are doing, and that's probably a reflection of the fact that the amounts sought here is \$70,000. That's understandable and I don't quibble with that but anything else -- so with foreign vendors, I get it. And I don't think I have any concerns. Anything else you can tell me about domestic vendors?

MR. MINOTT: Sure, Your Honor. So for the domestic vendors, they really again provide -- they're critical because they serve as the backbone to the business. One of the Debtor is really the communication hub, how the Debtors communicates to its clients and creditors. We have a cybersecurity infrastructure that the Debtor utilizes, actually two of them, and the other is involved with, from my understanding, just information technology and maintenance of the, really the Debtors' systems that keeps it keeps it running.

THE COURT: All right. That's helpful. Thank you.

And I assume -- one last question on this is I assume then

that these folks are not easy to replace in terms of

domestic vendors. I ask because I know what you told me in

the papers here in terms of this particular industry and

I've followed Judge Glenn and Judge Wiles in the other

cases, but I don't profess to be an expert on what kind of

vendors and how the business is organized, notwithstanding

having a child who is a programming -- a software engineer.

But so perhaps you can just put on the record the explanation as to how unique the services are the difficulties of replacing them for the domestic folks.

MR. MINOTT: Certainly, Your Honor. So as is made aware, this is, you know, a Debtor operations that are individual asset space, which is -- it's been around but it's emerging industry where the goods and services that these Debtors provide our hyperspecialized. There are certain, you know, requirements and restrictions and holding that really is far behind my level of comprehension that these vendors provide to manage the company's day to day operations. So, you know, it would be tremendous costs and a really significant burden to the Debtor entities to replace these critical vendors at this time.

THE COURT: All right, thank you very much for all that additional detail. And so let me ask if there's any

party that wishes to be heard on the critical vendor motion.

All right. Hearing no response, I'm happy to grant the requests for critical vendors here. That is the interim order. I did have one or two questions about including one or two things.

I guess the first would be some language consistent with what we talked about before in terms of providing information to any Official Committee that's appointed. Obviously, that's one of the things that they do. And certainly to the extent -- I'll leave it to you and the folks who are the Ad Hoc Committees, whether that would extend to them as well.

And the other question was, I did see that there's a discussion about customary terms and then Paragraph 4 of the proposed order, that's all fine. I have seen different degrees of details on customary terms, and this just has a very general statement about customary terms. That may be a reflection of this particular industry, but to the extent that the Debtors wanted to provide anything else more specific in the order, I don't know if you have any thoughts.

What are -- sometimes I've even seen attached forms. Again, I think those ones that have a lot of details tend to be in fairly traditional industries, so it may not be a fit here, but I don't know if you have any thoughts on

those two issues. One is consultation and the other is more details as to customary terms.

MR. MINOTT: Your Honor, on the first issue about providing notice to the Committees, we're happy to take that under advisement and again speak to the various stakeholders and, you know, if need be, proposed a revised order to the Court. On the customary terms, again as Your Honor alluded to, there's not really uniformity, given that the industry is -- it's really a moving target at times. But again, we will consider what changes can be made if any to add to the customary terms and again propose a revised order as needed.

THE COURT: All right. If you think there's something that would be beneficial that is not -- wouldn't overly complicate everybody's life, fine. And if it proves to be a bridge too far, then you can consider my suggestion shelved. So I'll leave it to your discretion on that subject.

All right. But those are my only two comments.

Thank you very much, Counsel, for all the additional detail.

It's much appreciated. Next up.

MR. MINOTT: Sorry, I just wanted to confirm that the motion is approved, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes. Oh, yes, the motion is granted and the request for an interim order for critical vendors in this case for \$70,000 is granted as appropriate under the

facts and circumstances of the case for all the reasons that you set forth in your motion and summarized here today, and also given the additional information that you very helpfully provided.

MR. MINOTT: Thank you, Your Honor. Last on my list, Your Honor, is the Debtor's case management procedures motion, which was initially filed at Docket 10. And the original order should be at Tab 8 of your binder. As Your Honor noted at the outset, we (indiscernible) revised procedures to align with Your Honor's standard form case management procedures, which we filed earlier today at Docket 32. I am happy to walk through the redline if it would be helpful to Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, I actually think it's fine.

One, I appreciate you all. We wanted to call in chambers with some advance notice because a lot of these things are very specific and detailed. And they aren't necessarily the same kind of questions. It's more a matter of how you want to organize things and procedures for the case going forward. So I appreciate your revising the order. I don't need you to go through the changes. You all very helpfully provided to us with enough notice that I've been going through it in chambers.

The only thing I think I would do -- well, two things that I would suggest. Is that we will come up with a

proposed revised order based on a couple of other small
changes that we have and send you all a proposed redline so
you can see what we have in mind. I don't think any of
these are substantive. And the other thing is to just point
out a couple of big picture points that might be of use for
anybody who is listening in. One is that we'll get rid of
all the Court Call paragraphs, because we do everything by
Zoom. And then that way everybody knows who is on the line
if they were looking, following along at home, that they
might be confused about how they might have to dial in in
the future. But we'll use Zoom not only for video, again,
for audio. And the second is that for purposes of replies
and the agenda, I would suggest two days out. We'll just
move back 24 hours. I think that's consistent with I think
how most of the judges in our courthouse do it as well in
Delaware. And that's great. I recognize for purposes of
the agenda that means that sometimes there are changes to
the agenda. So it's a mixed blessing. You get a little bit
earlier, but it might change. That's all fine. I will
never blame anybody for giving us the best information you
have at the time, and you can all just contact chambers and
let us know if there are any changes. But particularly for
the replies, it's particularly important to make sure I have
enough time to give them appropriate studies so that I've
got my act together for these hearings and you all get the

Page 69 1 best that I have to offer in terms of comments on 2 substantive law. 3 So those are the only things I think that are worth noting. The rest are much more in the minutiae 4 5 category. So rather than belabor that for the many folks 6 who are on the phone, who I suspect don't have any passionate interest in this particular motion, we will make 7 8 the changes, send it along to you. And obviously if there's anybody who does have particular interest in it, they can 9 10 reach out. But otherwise, I think we can handle it 11 efficiently that way. 12 With that said, and in an abundance of caution, I 13 will ask whether there is anybody who wishes to be heard on 14 the request for a case management order. All right. 15 Hearing no response. So we will be in touch in chambers. 16 Either get something to you either later today or tomorrow. 17 MR. MINOTT: Thank you, Your Honor. Much 18 appreciated. I will now turn the podium over to my 19 colleague, Ms. Hoori Kim. 20 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. 21 Ms. Kim? 22 MS. KIM: Good afternoon, Your Honor. THE COURT: Good afternoon. 23 24 MS. KIM: Can you hear me well? 25 I can hear you just fine, thank you. THE COURT:

MS. KIM: Great. Your Honor, I will be presenting the wages motion and the motion to appoint GAP as the Debtor's foreign representative.

For the record, Hoori Kim, Cleary Gottlieb Steen and Hamilton, proposed counsel for the Debtors. Under the wages motion, Your Honor, which is at Binder Tab 13, the Debtors are seeking authority to pay and honor certain prepetition employment-related claims and continue to exercise their rights to continue these programs, modify, discontinue, or implement any new programs in the ordinary course of business.

GAP's employees have the knowledge and skills that are very important to the company's operations, and it's very critical for them to be continued to be paid and to be provided these benefits going forward.

Your Honor, we'll note that GAP is seeking relief for this motion for its 15 or so employees. And as described in a footnote in the motion, employees who perform services for the other debtor entities have contracts with HoldCo, and the details about the reimbursement for their payroll and payroll expenses are further described in the cash management motion.

So here, we requested a number of relief. That's very typical in Chapter 11 cases, to continue to pay the employees and provide benefits in the ordinary course.

Pg 71 of 113 Page 71 1 These include wages, deductions, reimbursable expenses, 2 health insurance payments, pension contributions, and worker's compensation contributions. 3 I will note that the Debtors have processed 4 5 payroll last on January 19th, so there are no outstanding 6 prepetition wages claims. But we anticipate paying wages 7 going forward in the ordinary course, which amount to around 8 \$245,000 a month. THE COURT: All right. 9 10 MS. KIM: I will also note that the Debtors do not 11 have any outstanding prepetition severance obligations, but 12 we're seeking authority to pay any prepetition obligations 13 that arise post-petition. We are of course not seeking 14 under this motion to pay severance to insiders, as we've 15 noted in the motions. 16 We've been discussing the interim order with the 17 U.S. Trustee's office. And as of this morning, we 18 understand they anticipate sending us one comment to the 19 order about the representation about no employees having a 20 claim that exceeds a priority cap, which we've included in 21 the motion, but we're happy to include in the order as well. 22 THE COURT: All right. 23 MS. KIM: So unless Your Honor has any questions,

we would ask the Court to enter the proposed interim order.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.

www.veritext.com

24

1 Mr. Zipes, I think that was a segue to you.
2 Anything to add?

MR. ZIPES: Your Honor, my office has nothing to add. We've been working cooperatively with Debtor's counsel on these letters.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.

Anyone else wish to be heard in connection with this request for an interim order as to wages?

Hearing no -- I'm sorry, go ahead, Ms. VanLare.

MS. VANLARE: Your Honor, I just wanted to correct something. I think Ms. Kim just misspoke. The Debtor's employees are employed by GGT rather than Holdco. I just wanted to make that clear on the record.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. All right. Yeah. I recognize you all are getting a lot of things done simultaneously. So it does take a village to get these cases where they need to be. So thank you. All right. With that, I am happy to grant the request for an interim order on wages and related relief as appropriate under the facts and circumstances of the case for the reasons set forth in the motion and have been supplemented here by Ms. Kim's very helpful presentation. I just had I guess three notes. One is in Paragraph 2 of the proposed order -- I think I saw this in one other place too, and this comment would equally apply there. It says if no objection

is filed to the final order, the Court may enter the final order without further notice or hearing.

My expectation is we will have a second day hearing. And so I would probably just take that out. It may be a remnant from somewhere else. And certainly there are times when that's appropriate. But if we're going to have a hearing anyway, I would say we can probably take that line out from this order. And I think it's in one other order in the binder. But since we're just going to have a second day hearing.

I would I guess make the same comment about sort of consultation or essentially keeping the committee -- any official committee and any other ad hocs that you want to include in terms of implementing any new programs, policies, and benefits to the extent that they're significant enough to be of interest to the committee. So that might be something worth including.

And I think including the information about the statutory cap makes sense. I think I more often than not see that in those proposed orders. So that makes sense as well. But with those exceedingly minor comments, I'm happy to approve the motion. Thank you, Ms. Kim.

MS. KIM: Thank you very much, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, next up.

MS. KIM: Moving on to the next item, is the

foreign representative motion at Binder 14, Docket 4.

So under this motion, the Debtors request authority for GAP, so the same debtor entity that's based in Singapore, to act as the Debtor's foreign representative pursuant to Section 1505 of the Bankruptcy Code.

We are anticipating that GAP will seek recognition of these Chapter 11 proceedings and any other relevant orders in the relevant Singaporean courts. To that end, the Debtors are working with local counsel in Singapore to seek recognition of these proceedings. And we understand that an order from this Court or other evidence that show that GAP has been recognized as the foreign representative is required to commence the proceedings in Singapore.

Appointing GAP as the foreign representative will be very helpful for the Debtors to receive certain benefits of the Chapter 11 process, especially to prevent any local creditors based in Singapore otherwise from attempting to foreclose on any assets or take any other measures contrary to the automatic stay that's been granted by this Court.

The proposed order will facilitate the Debtor's ability to do this and to effectively reorganize and obtain the benefits of this proceeding in Singapore where the Debtors have operations.

The U.S. Trustee has reviewed this motion and understand that they have no objections.

So unless Your Honor has any questions, we would ask the Court to enter the proposed order.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. Any party wish to be heard in connection with the foreign representative motion? All right. I'm happy to grant the motion as appropriate under the facts and circumstances here for all the reasons you set forth. That is that you need appropriate actions to take place here such that you can take advantage of that country's GAP -- Singapore's version of Chapter 15, in particular here the appointment of a foreign representative.

And so having presided over a number of Chapter

15s -- you'll forgive me if I geek out for just a minute

here, the question about the order.

So I looked at the Voyager order, the one entered in the Voyager Digital case as well. And they seem to track. I think there's some immaterial differences. But I guess I was -- the paragraph that I was sort of puzzling over -- and this may be more of an academic interest than anything else, I will confess in advance, is Paragraph 4. I am wondering if you don't have everything you need in Paragraph 3, Paragraph 4 talks about requesting the foreign court to take certain actions. And I guess it depends on -- that may be perhaps a reflection of what counsel who would bring that kind of proceeding say this is the kind of

language that the Singapore court would expect or would make this process work best. But that was my question about Paragraph 4 in particular. It wasn't in Voyager. There were a few things in Voyager that I'll flag in a second to ask if you wanted to include them here. But my question was about the language in particular about requesting the foreign court to take certain specific actions as opposed to simply essentially appointing a foreign representative here.

Any thoughts?

MS. KIM: So, Your Honor, if you are referring to language in that paragraph, including the citation to the applicable laws of Singapore and such, we just believe that it's helpful. We did get some guidance from local counsel there as to some language here. I believe that it's helpful for them to really institute the proceedings. And we are really seeking to appoint the foreign representative, but just wanted to kind of specify what our --

THE COURT: No, that background is helpful. I don't want to make it -- if this language is a result of an informed process where a foreign counsel has told you this would be particularly helpful, we want to institute these proceedings, that's why I asked. And so that's fine.

I did see in the Voyager order; they have a paragraph saying the Debtors are authorized to pay the costs. In that case, it was a Canadian proceeding. They

took the costs of the information off certain counsel consistent with orders of the Canadian court. Obviously that would be a different kind of official. I don't know if you want something in here as to that. I'll leave it to you. It's Paragraph 4 of the order in Voyager. And Voyager, the order is at Docket 52. So you can take a look at that. I'll leave it to you as to whether that's helpful or not.

And then the other paragraph -- so that's

Paragraph 4. And Paragraph 5 talks about as soon as

practical following court action taken by the foreign

representative in another jurisdiction, the Debtors will

file notice of the same on the docket of these Chapter 11

cases.

I will leave that to you as well as to whether you think that might be helpful or appropriate in these cases.

And the only other language that I see,

I will leave that to you as well as to whether you think
that might be helpful or appropriate in these cases.

And the only other language that I see in Voyager is at the end of Paragraph 3, and it just talks about for avoidance of doubt, this Court has not made any decision with respect to the status of the Debtor's Chapter 11 cases as a foreign main proceeding. I don't think that needs to be included because I think it's pretty obvious that we're

Page 78 1 not doing that here. But I just mention that, again, just 2 to canvass the things that were in this other order to the 3 extent they are of any use at all to you. So I will leave it to the Debtors in consultation 4 5 with their counsel who would handle that kind of matter as 6 to whether the language in Paragraph 4 and 5 of the Voyager 7 order might be helpful or not. And I will wait to see what 8 order you send me. And given your explanation, I am happy 9 to continue to include Paragraph 4 given all the 10 circumstances. So thank you very much, Counsel, for your 11 input on that. Any other question or comment or anything else we should discuss in connection with the order? 12 13 MR. ZIPES: Your Honor, Greg Zipes with the U.S. 14 Trustee's Office. We would just ask that the order be 15 (indiscernible) before it's submitted to the Court. 16 THE COURT: All right. 17 Ms. Kim, anything else? 18 MS. KIM: No, that's it, Your Honor. Thank you so 19 much for your comments. 20 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. All right. 21 think that leaves one or two matters left to discuss. 22 That's right, Your Honor. I will turn MS. KIM: 23 it over to Ms. VanLare to present the remaining motions. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 24 25 MS. VANLARE: Thank you, Your Honor. I have three

motions left, Your Honor.

The first one that I would like to address is the consolidated creditor's list motion. Your Honor, this motion seeks really two primary types of relief. One is to waive the requirement that each of the Debtor file a separate list of creditors and to authorize the preparation of a consolidated list of creditors and to authorize the Debtors to file that list for the top 50 unsecured creditors.

And then the second type of relief is the redactions. In terms of the first, we believe that a filing of consolidated creditor list is efficient and appropriate in this type of case, and we think that top 50 list is sufficient. It does capture creditors with claims of approximately \$10 million which we think relative to the overall size of the claims in this case as well as individual particular claims, we think it's low enough such that 50 creditors is sufficient. I will note that we originally had 30, but we've expanded that to 50 following comments from the Office of the U.S. Trustee, which we were happy to accommodate.

The second part of the type of relief we're seeking is the request to redact certain information. And here I would just like to spend a little bit of time to describe the relief that we're seeking in the motion as well

as what's happened since we filed that motion and where we are today.

And I know Mr. Zipes may want to add as well, but hopefully I'll describe accurately our discussions with the Office of the U.S. Trustee.

This issue, as I'm sure you know, Your Honor, has gained a lot of attention in the crypto cases. And so this is in part why we're spending some time on this.

So our motion is seeking to redact the names and contact information for individual creditors. We believe that this is important due to risk of identity theft and to protect our individual creditors from certain other threats that they may face.

In addition to the privacy concerns, Your Honor, as we've mentioned, we do have a large number of our creditors in other jurisdictions. A number of these jurisdictions have more stringent privacy regimes such as the U.K. and E.U. and others. And we want to be mindful of those regimes and would not want to incur fines if we were to run astray from those regimes.

So that's on the individual creditors. Our motion seeks to maintain as unredacted information relating to institutional creditors. And so as Your Honor pointed out, we did file the petitions originally with the individual creditor information redacted with institutional creditor

information as unredacted. Following this, we received a large number of requests. I would say we were bombarded with requests from creditors, a number of them noting safety concerns. And we want to make sure that we are taking all of those very seriously, which we are.

And so given the request that we received from our creditors and the fact that these are complicated cases with creditors in different jurisdictions, what we did was we went ahead and we filed a redacted version of the petition.

This affected the declaration of Mr. Leto because it also included the creditor list.

We redacted contact information. Not the names, but the contact information. Although we may have redacted -- so it's a more redacted list of creditors. This was meant to be an interim measure. And when I say interim, I don't even mean interim as in until the second day hearing, but really just a temporary measure to make sure that we were in contact with those creditors and to understand their individual concerns. And our intent is to file a revised creditor list that has more information. We intend to coordinate with the Office of the U.S. Trustee. And our goal is to not have any sort of hearing, sort of disputed hearing with respect to the U.S. Trustee's Office on this point. Again, we hope to work cooperatively. We only ask that we are allowed just a little bit of time to sort

through these issues, to make sure that the information we are providing is accurate and appropriate and does not pose any undue risks.

We also do want to give parties an opportunity if they wish to come before Your Honor and express particular concerns, that they have an opportunity to do so. So that's another reason why we would appreciate some time.

We have discussed this with Mr. Zipes. We understand that he is -- he doesn't object to us having some time before we can provide a more -- a revised list with more information. Again, we do intend to work with his office to work out a list to which we hope the U.S.

Trustee's Office won't have an objection, and then we'll present that to Your Honor.

And I understand from Mr. Zipes that he would like a hearing sooner than the second day hearing. Obviously we have not scheduled that yet, but we are certainly open to doing that subject to Your Honor's request as to -- Your Honor's schedule.

THE COURT: If that makes it easier for folks, sometimes having a hearing or a status conference allows people to not have to file a lot of papers and spend a lot of money and you can touch base and have a conversation.

And given that we might want to schedule something between now and the second day hearing for purposes of touching base

Page 83 1 on mediation, maybe we put something about a week or ten 2 days out and use it for whatever purposes would be beneficial to the case. 3 MS. VANLARE: That works for us, Your Honor. 4 So I 5 will just conclude. 6 And I think we would like to just tweak the 7 interim order to allow us this sort of interim-interim of relief of allowing us to maintain the redactions while we 8 9 sort through these issues as quickly as possible. 10 THE COURT: Yeah, no. I think that's appropriate. 11 It's sort of like a Freedom of Information Act case. Once 12 the information is out there, it's out there. Now more than 13 ever given the internet. So I think that makes sense. 14 So, Mr. Zipes, anything you wanted to add? 15 MR. ZIPES: Your Honor, I very much appreciate 16 that summary, which is accurate. And, Your Honor, we have 17 no objection, as stated, to entering the order today. 18 I would note that although, as I said before, that 19 this is a serious issue for not just us, but all the parties here. And would also note the unusual nature that some of 20 21 this information that's redacted was part of the public --22 was disclosed to the public for at least some period, and 23 we're just reserving all our rights in that regard. 24 THE COURT: That's fine. I appreciate it. 25 MR. ROSEN: Your Honor?

Pg 84 of 113 Page 84 1 THE COURT: Certainly. 2 MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, I apologize. Brian Rosen, 3 Proskauer Rose, on behalf of an ad hoc group of creditors. 4 Your Honor, I appreciate all the comments made by 5 Mr. VanLare and Mr. Zipes. I just want to say that the 6 issue as far as we are concerned -- and as I may have 7 indicated, we represent over 60 people within our group 8 itself. Many of them are institutional type of lenders to 9 the Debtors. And they suffer the same threats that have 10 been discussed already. And I brought this up with Mr. 11 Zipes earlier today. So we echo the concern, but we want to 12 say that we believe that if there is something that needs to 13 be filed, I would truly like it -- in the future that is of 14 course, we would truly like it to be just with an 15 institutional name perhaps, but not even the name of the 16 contact person. And I'm happy to discuss that further with 17 Mr. Zipes and Ms. VanLare. But we just want the Court to be 18 aware that the threats extend well beyond individuals, but 19 to institutional people as well. 20 THE COURT: All right. That's a fair point. 21 Thank you very much for that comment. Any other party wish 22 to comment on this request? All right. So I appreciate that the parties are talking about 23 24 this. It is a very serious issue. In preparation for

today's hearing, I read Judge Glenn's decision in Celsius

Network at 644 B.R. 276, which was in September, which seals
-- allowed to be redacted certain information such as
addresses. He didn't allow names to be redacted. And then
there was Judge Garrity's decision in Endo International,
which is from November, early November, where he allowed
names and addresses. And I also looked at Judge Wiles'
decision in Voyager, which tracked Judge Garrity's in terms
of allowing names and addresses, that is identifying
information, to be redacted.

But there's one other thing that -- so I will confess that for an instance like the actual applicable rule is a bit sort of out of date in the sense that there's no notion of weighing the need for disclosure of the information versus the potential harm. It's a much different kind of way of looking at it. And so there are instances -- I've had in a Chapter 15 case where a party didn't want to provide discovery, citing certain privacy rules overseas. But it was necessary for the case to proceed. And that's sort of a different situation than an instance where I think if Judge Wiles said if somebody needs it, somebody can come back to the court and request it. Which is what we do as just a general matter for sealing. Sealing anything never prevents somebody from coming back to the court to request the information based on a showing of need.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So one other piece of the puzzle I think is worth just identifying here as the parties continue the discussion. In Celsius, after Judge Glenn's decision, there was a notice of phishing attempt that's on the docket, docket 1527, which talks about the Debtor's becoming aware that phishing emails were sent to certain debtors' customers reporting to be restructuring associates at the applicable law firm of Kirkland and Associates requesting customers submit their addresses or their account information to receive their claims distribution.

And so it goes on to say please take note that these are not authorized and are likely a phishing scam and that none of the debtors or their advisors will ever contact you by email, telephone call, or otherwise requesting account information or other personal information absent an order of the court.

And so I mention that because it may be that including some kind of language from this notice of phishing attempt as to what a customer should be highly suspicious of if they ever get it, it might be helpful, right? Because this is happening in Celsius even though the email -- any email addresses were allowed to be redacted by the Court. Right? So they're getting that information from somewhere else and then hitting people who are customers in the Celsius case. So I think this order may be a good

opportunity to include language about that. If you get something that looks like this, that's not from us. If you get something and you have a question, you can reach out to this person to verify the accuracy, that it's an actual -- a legitimate request. So that's why I mention it. So if you're going to be having these discussions anyway.

And also, I think that for purposes of the case and findings where the standard is, that there's a concern about information being out there and about disclosure information creating an undue risk of unlawful injury, this notice of phishing attempt certainly puts a fairly fine point on the kind of worry that we all have in cases. So whether it's a case involving -- in Endo, you're talking about people in an opioid circumstance, and here you're talking about people who are owed money as creditors in connection with the Debtors. People don't want to be -- shouldn't be victimized twice.

So I throw that all out there. I will stop there unless I interfere with your discussions. But I did want to mention those things on the record. Because I certainly am very concerned about it. And I guess the only other comment I would make is I would agree with Judge Glenn's comment that certainly E.U. or U.K. schemes about privacy don't trump American law. On the other hand, I do find them to be somewhat informative as to when we're talking about

something that violates law. You do have regimes where there's been a determination that this kind of information should not be publicly available. So I do think it's not binding on a U.S. bankruptcy proceeding, but it is informative in trying to sort out the competing interests here.

So, sorry to go on a little bit. I just thought I would throw this information out to the extent it's helpful in your discussions. We can pick a date in the next week or ten days to get together again and see where we are. And certainly nobody needs to file anything between now and then unless you've reached some sort of conclusion and a resolution that you all are on board with. But I did want to put that all on the record because it's a very -- it's a very difficult, challenging issue. And I guess that's where we are.

So anything else, Ms. VanLare, on this particular motion?

MS. VANLARE: No, nothing else on this motion.

And we do very much appreciate Your Honor's comments and your very helpful and excellent suggestions in terms of other things we could add and consider. So we appreciate that.

THE COURT: All right. My pleasure. Anything from any other party in connection with this particular

motion? All right. Thank you very much.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, Ms. VanLare, I think we have something else to chat about?

MS. VANLARE: We do, Your Honor. Two more. The next one is the automatic stay motion. It's Docket 13 and it's Binder Tab 9.

THE COURT: All right, proceed.

MS. VANLARE: Your Honor, this is the Debtor's motion for an order authorizing the Debtors to operate their business in the ordinary course and ordering the implementation of the automatic stay. In this motion, the Debtors are seeking a clarifying order enforcing the automatic stay pursuant to Section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code. As we've noted, we do have foreign creditors. One of the debtors of course, the Singapore entity, is a foreign entity. And we do think that this order is necessary to inform affected parties of the existence of the automatic stay, protections that are provided to the Debtor by virtue of Section 362, and we think it's particularly important for a case like this where we have foreign creditors that may be unfamiliar with the automatic stay and the protections that it provides. We do think that it's critical that vendors and customers and counterparties understand the nature of these proceedings and the protections that are afforded to the Debtors under the Bankruptcy Code.

Finally, I'll note we're not seeking any relief beyond the provisions of the Bankruptcy Code.

And with that, unless Your Honor has any questions, we ask that you enter an order approving this motion.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. Any party wish to be heard in connection with this motion?

MR. ZIPES: Your Honor, Greg Zipes with the U.S.

Trustee's Office. And my office did have an opportunity to
review this motion and order as well. And we have had
discussions with the Cleary team.

This is slightly unusual. Not unprecedented, but it's unusual in that the Debtors are asking for -- to operate in the ordinary course, which is something they have a right to do regardless. And returning to the point about GAP and the spot trading, we would appreciate it if something is put on the record relating to what the intentions are, because they are getting a court order now in connection with the spot trading and whether that will take place in the next week to two weeks. And (indiscernible) that if at all possible.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. VanLare, I don't know if you had anything to say. I understood this to be aimed at really informing foreign creditors and essentially track the code. But I understand your point I guess is that the

title says order authorizing Debtors to operate their business in the ordinary course. So I don't know, Ms.

VanLare, if you have anything worth discussing in connection with the spot trading issue.

MS. VANLARE: Your Honor, I am happy to. I tried to give a brief description of that as part of my first day presentation, but I'm happy to add more.

I will just note that it's of course a cornerstone of the Chapter 11 proceedings that the Debtor is permitted to operate in the ordinary course. And in some ways, our case is unusual because we have voluntarily paused a large portion of our ordinary course activities, namely the lending business, in order to protect the assets. And we thought that was the decision that was most conducive to a fair and appropriate distribution of creditor assets, or of assets of the debtor to the creditors.

So I will just note that it is of course in any other case would be completely typical for us to continue all of our operations. In this case, we are not doing that. We have paused the lending, but we are continuing on a limited basis. And I say limited because the volume is substantially less than what was happening prior to the pause. Some limited spot trading activity at GAP. We do think that it is important to preserve that business and preserve that activity for the preservation of the business

as a whole, which we think is value-maximizing.

As I mentioned as part of my presentation, what happens in these situations is that a client would typically prefund. So, for example, if a client wishes to purchase bitcoin, they would first prefund the amount that's required to GAP. GAP would then proceed to engage in back-to-back trades to obtain that bitcoin, and then sell it to the client. But again, the funds are prefunded.

So given the limited nature of this activity and given the importance to the business in terms of preserving our relationships with Asian clients, we think that it's appropriate to continue doing so. And again, we -- we're happy to tweak the wording in the automatic stay order.

Again, the purpose of that order was really just to make it clear that the automatic stay is applicable to creditors.

But of course happy to clarify the activities that the Debtors are currently engaged in.

THE COURT: All right. And it may be that simply trading -- changing the caption of the order might be the thing that makes the intent of the order clear in the sense of order providing for the limitation of automatic stay and -- I'll leave it to you all to figure that out. But that may -- you're right, there's been a cessation of certain activities voluntarily that sort of might give a misleading impression as to what's a result of the Bankruptcy Code per

Page 93 1 se and what's not. 2 So, Mr. Zipes, any further thoughts in light of Ms. VanLare's comment? 3 4 MR. ZIPES: We appreciate those comments. And it 5 may -- the questions may be better directed in the next 6 motion to be heard. But I think the question basically that 7 we asked earlier before the hearing as well was just if we can get some parameters of what they expect that trading to 8 9 be over the next couple weeks and what is considered 10 ordinary course in that regard. Because there is --11 THE COURT: So I think I understand where you are. 12 So anybody else wish to be heard in connection with this 13 automatic stay motion? 14 MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, it's Brian Rosen again. 15 And this may be just a title issue in the ordinary course, 16 and it may be for the next motion as well. But one of the 17 things we did contact Ms. VanLare about and we were unable 18 to touch base was intercompany transactions. And I don't 19 know, Jane, if you're going to take it up in the next item. 20 She is. Okay, Your Honor. 21 MS. VANLARE: Yes, I will. 22 THE COURT: No, that's fair. So I think my suggestion would be -- but I'm open to other better 23 24 suggestions, is to change the order of the -- the title, I'm

sorry, of the order to make it clear what it's about, which

is notifying folks about the application of the United

States Bankruptcy Code to the Debtor's operations. And so

whether it's order, you know, providing for implementation

or providing notice of existence of the automatic stay,

something to that effect. Because I think that that's what

the rest of the order actually does. And I think the issues

we're talking about really are -- relate to the next motion

and are properly sort of housed there.

So I think this -- I have entered this kind of order before in Republic Airways, which is cited, and even as far back as I think 2011 or 2012 in Arcapita, which is a Bahraini investment bank. And they asked for it because they needed to explain to folks that they did business with who are not familiar with the U.S. Bankruptcy what the impact was of the filing.

So I will leave it to you and Ms. VanLare to tweak the title of the order. I think that would resolve any issues because I think the order itself, as I think is entirely appropriate, essentially goes through what the Code provides. And so I don't think there's any daylight between the provisions of the order and the provisions of the Code. So I think it's an entirely appropriate request under the facts and circumstances here. And I think the text of the order is -- I find no fault with it at all. So just tweak the title and I think it will be fine.

And with that, I think that leaves this one other matter to talk about.

MS. VANLARE: That's right, Your Honor. Thank you very much. We will make that tweak to the order.

So next and last as far as the first day relief is the Debtor's cash management motion.

In this motion, the debtors are seeking authorization to continue to operate the debtor's existing cash management system, including the existing bank accounts, on our certain prepetition obligations relating thereto, and to maintain our existing business forms. We're also seeking permission to continue intercompany transactions and granting certain administrative claims, seeking to extend the time to comply with the requirements of Section 345 of the Bankruptcy Code and certain related relief.

So in terms of those requests, Your Honor, we describe in our cash management motion the existing cash management system. We provide the bank accounts and we describe the flow of funds. We have been in discussions with the Office of the U.S. Trustee regarding the accounts and the applicability of Section 345 of the Bankruptcy Code. We are seeking a 45-day extension with the ability to seek further extensions. But we think that we'll be able to work cooperatively with the U.S. Trustee's office regarding

accounts. We do note a lot of our accounts actually are at conforming institutions, but we do have some accounts that are not. And so we will be proceeding to close those accounts and/or relocate the funds at those accounts. The amounts in those accounts are relatively small already with the exception of the brokerage accounts. The brokerage accounts are at a non-conforming institution. They -- and we will be working with the Office of the U.S. Trustee to come up with a resolution. There are, to be honest, not that many options for certain types of digital asset related shares. But we'll be working with the office to come up with a resolution that's satisfactory.

In addition, we are seeking to maintain our cryptocurrency wallets on third-party platforms that store substantially all of the Debtor's digital assets. We have been -- we have communicated again with the Office of the U.S. Trustee regarding where those assets are. We obviously are extremely focused on maintaining the security of those assets, and we are seeking authority to maintain those wallets.

We are also seeking authority to pay all outstanding bank fees. This is fairly typical, Your Honor, of course. We think that those fees are fairly minimal in the context of this case, and we don't expect them to exceed \$3,000 a month.

Lastly, I will note the intercompany transactions, which Mr. Rosen brought up. The Debtors do maintain business relationships with each other that gives rise to claims among the Debtors and certain of their non-debtor affiliates, as well as each other. We are seeking authority to continue to enter into intercompany transactions, and we are seeking to accord the intercompany claims, administrative claim priority. I will note that the Debtors are not intending to make any transfers to non-debtor affiliates. And during the interim period and to the extent there are any, we will make sure that they are appropriately recorded, and that information will be provided to the Office of the U.S. Trustee and the Committee once it's appointed.

THE COURT: All right. And let me just ask you about the intercompany transfers in light of the fact that the lending business is -- there's a pause on that and there will be notice before that resumes. What impact does that have on intercompany transfers as opposed supposed to say the spot trading business?

MS. VANLARE: Yes, Your Honor, of course. That has meant that the volume of intercompany transactions has decreased substantially. And really what remains are largely reimbursement type expenses for administrative expenses for the Debtors. So they are relative to typical

operations substantially smaller.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right. All right. So let me circle the virtual room on this, starting with Mr. Zipes.

MR. ZIPES: Yes, Your Honor. Greg Zipes with the U.S. Trustee's Office. Again, we've been in discussion. These are not easy cases. And, Your Honor, we have the crypto assets that are being held on the cloud through third party companies. We did want to confirm with the Debtors the security protocols and maybe place a little bit of that on the record, Your Honor. It's not the place to go into it in detail, but just to outline in general terms how the cryptocurrency is being protected. We did agree to this on an interim basis, and we would hope to work out all cash management issues. In a more traditional case, most of the banks and the accounts are in authorized depositories and we would no doubt be able to work out that aspect of it with the Debtors in the interim. But we are focused on the cryptocurrency and the protection of that because of the significant dollars.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Mr. Rosen?

MR. ROSEN: Yes, Your Honor. I thought Ms.

VanLare was going to go a little bit further with respect to the intercompany transactions. But really what we are most concerned about is anything outside the ordinary course.

And by ordinary course what I focus on, and I think some of the other people on the call would focus on, if in fact there is a shared services type of agreement, then they need to pay for those services or wages and things like that that someone else is fronting for them. I would understand that type of payment, that intercompany transaction. What we're most concerned about is anything lending related or the passage of assets among the entities other than through the payment of those types of goods and services. So if we could get a representation in that regard that none of that will occur, that would actually take care of it for me, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Ms. VanLare, any thoughts? MS. VANLARE: Yes, Your Honor. With respect to that, we're not -- I can represent that we are not intending to engage in those types of transactions in the interim, and we can -- Mr. Rosen, we're happy to discuss those issues offline as well to provide that information. MR. ROSEN: Thank you very much. THE COURT: Thank you. And I suspect that also is a helpful bit of information for the U.S. Trustee's Office as well. Mr. Marcus, it looked like you wanted to weigh in. MR. MARCUS: I did. Thank you, Your Honor.

had the same concern that Mr. Rosen raised. We had some

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

conversations with Ms. VanLare. I thought it was going to be a representation that they weren't going to happen during the interim period. It sounds like they have no intent, but it may happen. And if that's the case, obviously we would like to receive the same information that everyone else is getting just to make sure we are kept in the loop, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Any other party wish to be heard as to this motion? MR. ZIPES: Your Honor, Greg Zipes with the U.S. Trustee's Office. Could you give me one second, please? THE COURT: Sure. MR. ZIPES: Your Honor, I think that everything is clear and there won't be transfers to non-debtors from the debtors during the interim period except as was outlined by Ms. VanLare. We also just wanted to confirm that the crypto is not being transferred to the books or the accounts of non-debtors as well. And I think it's clear that that's not going to happen, but we just wanted clarification on that. MS. VANLARE: I can confirm that the crypto assets are not being transferred to non-debtor entities. THE COURT: All right. Thank you for that. So I don't know how these kinds of issues have been sorted through in other cases, Celsius, FTX, in terms of if you get back to sort of first principles, the notion

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

of making sure that none of the assets are at some undue level of risk or unnecessary risk. And that sounds like that's something that's going to be discussed among the stakeholders, particularly with the brokerage accounts, which is if you look at the chart, which is very helpful by the way, in the motion starting at Page 7 and going through Page 10. That's really where the assets are.

And so I did note that there was a reference to investment practices, but there wasn't really much of an explanation about that. That might get some of the way there. It might be a helpful bit of information to have some understanding as to what those brokerage accounts -- what the practices are in detail to understand what protections there are and what level of risk there is to that -- to those assets which is, you know, you look at Continental Stock Transfer and Trust, \$358 million. So those numbers and that particular point of the chart jump off the page.

So I would think that's part of it. I'm happy if folks want to work out -- I understood there were questions and representations, and that's great and that's helpful.

And I appreciate both the thought in terms of my folks to ask those kinds of specific questions and as well as the Debtor's obvious preparation to be able to answer those kinds of questions that Ms. VanLare fielded.

In the order, obviously the Debtors would circulate language to the extent anybody wants to memorialize some of that kind of language for purposes of intercompany transfers, transfers in general, the kinds of things we were talking about here today. But the brokerage accounts are really -- and the investment practices that would include protections are things that obviously were more of a concern to me. There are a couple of other ways to sort of think about this.

When I was looking at the accounts, obviously
Signature Bank is an authorized depository. There are other
ones that are not. Some of these accounts that are zero, as
an outsider who is not familiar with the way the debtor's
business works in the kind of detail that you folks are,
questions are -- how much money goes into those accounts,
what kind of balances they can end up with, and are there
sweep accounts. If so, when is the money swept from
accounts into a master account. All those kinds of things
might be helpful to know in terms of understanding that if
accounts generally have a balance of zero, that maybe they
are the kinds of accounts that nobody would be having
particular concerns about, say for example the ones at
Silvergate Bank. And so I suspect some of those things can
be dealt with without too much difficulty in terms of

Pg 103 of 113 Page 103 additional information. But I think the brokerage accounts are -- and intercompany transfers are probably where the rubber most hits the road. So those are the sort of things -- I don't know if there's anything else worth putting on the record in connection with those issues that hasn't already been addressed. Ms. VanLare? MS. VANLARE: Yes. I just want to add, Your Honor, something about the investment practices that you noted and then the brokerage accounts. Those are really separate in the sense that investment -- we try to clarify this as well in the motion. It refers to accounts maintained at Signature Bank. Really they are unlike kind of what one would typically think of as investment accounts. They're actually bank deposit -- they're savings accounts. So I did want to make that clear. THE COURT: All right. MS. VANLARE: I know the defined term refers to investments, but they're really bank deposits and savings accounts that are at Signature, which is an approved institution. I think that's separate from the other issue you

noted, which are the brokerage accounts that do have over

\$350 million worth of certain shares. And that's -- I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

understand that that's something that we are discussing with the Office of the U.S. Trustee as to what to do with that.

As I mentioned, given the nature of those shares and the digital asset industry, it's extremely difficult to find an alternative that would be an appropriate approved institution. But we are, again, open to trying to find a resolution that's satisfactory to the U.S. Trustee as well as Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. Yes, so I guess then my question, if the investment practices that are referenced in Paragraph 18 of the motion really to go the Signature Bank accounts, then I guess the question is how the brokerage accounts are handled in terms of what level of risk. There's obviously reference to collateral being held in the form of shares for certain or other things. I don't know if that's implicated by these particular accounts or not. So any -- and again, this may all be information that you all are much further along on and have a much better handle on than I do. But that kind of thing would be helpful to have a sense, because I think it just -- thinking about this as you think about any case, the level of risk, what's the expectation. And I quess that has to do with both the kind of account and what the institution is where the asset is being held, but also what is the expectation as to, you know, potential withdrawals of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

those kinds of assets from those accounts and under what circumstances, how are those accounts used.

So I will leave it to you all to have those discussions. I know they are challenging. And I very much appreciate that you all have already had discussions. We all know that these cases -- all cases work so much better with counsel and doing exactly what you all are doing here. So I very much appreciate that you are well on your way to having these kinds of discussions. So thank you for that.

And so to the extent it would be useful, we could include that as part of any status conference that we had, if that makes life easier. At the same time, if it's -- I don't want to bog you all down with having a to-do list that's unnecessary if you're making progress, then we'll deal with it at the second day hearing. But you'll let me know after talking to each other whether that would be helpful or just an albatross for purposes of including on the agenda sort of an intermediate hearing/status conference.

Anybody have anything else to add in connection with this motion?

MS. VANLARE: Your Honor, I'm sorry. I do have one other change that I wanted to note. We'll submit a revised form of order to Your Honor's chambers. But we did receive a comment from the SEC. I think this is fairly

typical language that they've asked to be included. It's really kind of a preservation for the avoidance of doubt that nothing affects their rights. So I did just want to flag that we'll be including that language in the revised form of the cash management order that we sent to Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. That's fine. That's understandable. I had a couple of more minor comments on the order. So looking at Page 3, Paragraph 2, there is an address for the U.S. Trustee's office, and I know the U.S. Trustee's office has been moving. So I don't know if you currently still are at Barrack Street or you now should be sent things somewhere else.

MS. VANLARE: Yes, we -- oh, I'm sorry. I was going to say that's another revision that you will see.

Yes, we have made that change.

THE COURT: I always like to mention the revisions and the issues that are nice and easy to resolve. So it's a nice layup. So we can end on a high note.

So I think the only other thing I had that hasn't already been discussed is -- one second here to just read my notes. Oh, I think for purposes of new accounts, which is in Paragraph 12, again, the same notion of keeping the Committee and any other ad hoc committees that you think are appropriate to include sort of in the loop on that, that

would be helpful. And I think we already talked about the spot trading transactions in Paragraph 6. We talked about the fees that are being charged on the accounts. Also I think all ordinary fees. That's in Paragraph 10.

And so I'll end on an exceedingly mundane note given what we've already talked about, which is whether to include Debtor statutes, Debtor-in-possession on any new business forms. It seems to be somewhat an antiquated question to ask since we're talking about sort of traditional business forms and we're talking about a cryptocurrency case where -- it's like talking about faxes. So I don't know if there would be business forms that would be generated after the case starts and whether putting that on for dealing with your foreign counterparties would be counterproductive or not. So I just wanted to raise it as a more mundane kind of issue that we often discuss.

MS. VANLARE: Your Honor, we will confirm that and add it if it's needed.

THE COURT: All right. With that, any other question or comment from any other party on this motion? All right.

I am happy to grant this motion on an interim basis for all the reasons set forth in the motion and given that the motion sort of is supplemented by the additional information and discussions and representations on the

record here this afternoon. And again, I will leave it to you all as to whether we should have a further discussion about this when we get together for a status dealing with redaction and mediation, although it sounds like various parties are confident that we won't need to be talking about mediation.

So with that, I think Ms. VanLare and Mr. O'Neal, unless you have anything else to discuss, I think we could turn to scheduling. Is that right?

MR. O'NEAL: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. So I'm happy to get you an intermediate date maybe sometime next week if that would be of use. I do have one complicating factor, and I apologize for this. I am actually going to be overseas the week of February 13th, which I suspect would be the week we would normally schedule a second day hearing. It doesn't mean that it's impossible for me to do a hearing from where I am, but it might mean that perhaps if we can pick a date earlier in the following week if that doesn't present a problem.

Otherwise, I can see what I can figure out. But I did want to let you know that week of the 13th is -- I am overseas.

MS. VANLARE: Your Honor, I have to admit, I was envisioning the week of February 13th. I don't think it

for scheduling with that given all that.

And so let me ask Debtors what they have in mind

should be a problem to do the early (indiscernible) week.

If it's all right with Your Honor, I would like to confer

some of the other parties and my colleagues and perhaps be

in touch with chambers as far as setting up a specific date.

THE COURT: That would be fine. That would be fine. And I'm happy to get you in any date that you would want the following week. The 21st, 22nd, 23rd, whatever works for you. And we'll wait to hear from you as to what would work best after your consultation with other interested parties.

Do you want to pick a date now for essentially -to put a placeholder in for a status conference next week?

Or whenever you think would be helpful. I don't want to
schedule it prematurely. Again, the idea of it is to be
helpful, not to have it be an additional burden.

MR. O'NEAL: Your Honor, we do believe that a status conference would be helpful to our process. What I would suggest is, kind of consistent with the way we've been approaching this case, would want to confer with some of the key stakeholders and come up with a date that works. I know that there are some significant travel schedules next week for some of the parties. And we'll come up with a proposal if that's okay with you.

THE COURT: That would be fine. That would be fine.

1 MR. O'NEAL: Perfect.

THE COURT: So I will throw it out that certainly the dates I was going to throw out were the 1st and the 2nd of February to begin with. So -- but also the 30th, which is the Monday, if that's helpful. I could sneak you in the 31st, although that's looking to be a fairly long calendar as it is, and I don't want to have all you people waiting as I get through another calendar. So I throw it out there, those dates. But obviously you'll reach out to Ms. Ebanks about scheduling and, frankly, talking to her you're in better hands than chatting with me, to be quite candid.

MR. O'NEAL: Certainly. And my guess is probably

MR. O'NEAL: Certainly. And my guess is probably the right date is the 30th.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. O'NEAL: If that works.

THE COURT: So with that, let me ask Debtor's counsel of there's anything else that we need to address here this afternoon.

MS. VANLARE: Nothing else, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Anything from any other party to address? All right. So I'll just leave -- before we leave, I will do one thing, which is to so order the record for purposes of the relief that's been granted.

Obviously you'll be getting me revised orders. And again, make sure to get me orders for each motion. I think most of

Page 111 1 them have a little tweak somewhere or another. But even if 2 for, like, joint administration has no tweak, just send it 3 along so we make sure to do that and that we have the most up-to-date version of the order. But I will so order the 4 5 record so folks know that they have the relief and can act 6 accordingly. 7 And with that, unless there's anything else, thank 8 you all very much for everybody's assistance in a productive 9 and efficient hearing. Have yourselves a good evening and 10 look forward to seeing you soon. 11 MR. O'NEAL: Thank you, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Thank you. 13 MS. VANLARE: Thank you very much. 14 (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 15 4:34 PM) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

	Py 112 01 113		
		Page 112	
1	INDEX		
2			
3	RULINGS		
4		Page	Line
5			
6	Motion for Joint Administration Granted	50	22
7	Schedules Motion Granted	55	3
8	Amended Motion for Automatic Stay Granted	94	25
9	Cash Management Motion	107	22
10	Taxes & Fees Motion Granted	60	2
11	Critical Vendors Motion Granted	65	2
12	Employee Wage Motion Granted	72	18
13	Genesis Asia Pacific Pte. Ltd. Motion		
14	Granted	75	5
15	Case Management Motion	107	22
16	Kroll Motion Granted	57	14
17	Islim Declaration Accepted	29	2
18	Leto Declaration	29	2
19	Aronzon Declaration	29	2
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
			I

Page 113 1 CERTIFICATION 2 3 I, Sonya Ledanski Hyde, certified that the foregoing 4 transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. 5 Songa M. deslarski Hydl 6 7 8 Sonya Ledanski Hyde 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Veritext Legal Solutions 21 330 Old Country Road 22 Suite 300 Mineola, NY 11501 23 24 25 Date: January 24, 2023